

Reviewed document: 1389836785--JWPR-1001.docx  
 Processing date: 16.1.2014 13:36 CET  
 Author: ♦♦

A total of 118 sentences were analysed. As a result 22 sentences (18.6%) were found in other documents. 1 sentence was detected as common phras irrelevant result and therefore not rated as found sentence.

These sentences are highlighted in the text by using different color shades according to the amount of similarity. The darker the highlighting color, the more words were found in another document. You may click the highlighted sentences in order to get further details about found reference documents Learn [more about this report](#) and [how to evaluate it](#).

The following graphic shows the distribution of found sentences within the checked document. The colored parts of the overview bar indicate those par the document in which sentences were found in other documents. The left boundary of the bar corresponds to the beginning of the document and the boundary to the end of the document accordingly. By clicking into the overview bar you are directed to the corresponding position in the document.



## Reference documents

The following list contains titles and addresses of documents in which similar sentences were found. With a click [on the number](#) of found sentences („x Sentences“) the corresponding sentences are highlighted in the document as well as in the navigation bar by a [colored border](#) and you are directed to first position of the corresponding sentences in the document. Another click on „x Sentences“ resets the highlighting.

7 Sentences were found in a text with the title: „Effect of probiotic supplements on egg quality and laying“, located at: <http://www.pjbs.org/ijps/fin385.pdf>

5 Sentences were found in a text with the title: „Effect of Probiotic Supplements on Egg Quality and Laying“, located at: <http://ah-mahdavi.iut.ac.ir/effect-probiotic-supplements-egg-quality-and-laying-hens-performance>  
<http://www.docin.com/p-6789407.html>

3 Sentences were found in a text with the title: „Angelovi ová - Potravinárstvo | Scientific Journal for“, located at: <http://www.potravinarstvo.com/journal1/index.php/potravinarstvo/article/view/271>

3 Sentences were found in a text with the title: „The Role of Probiotics in the Poultry Industry“, located at: <http://pubmedcentralcanada.ca/articlerender.cgi?accid=PMC2812824>  
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2812824/>  
<http://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/10/8/3531/pdf>

3 Sentences were found in a text with the title: „The role of probiotics in the poultry industry.“, located at: <http://www.biomedsearch.com/nih/role-probiotics-in-poultry-industry/20111681.html>

2 Sentences were found in a text with the title: „The Effect of Probiotic Supplementation on Production ...“, located at: <http://www.medwelljournals.com/ref.php?doi=javaa.2010.2774.2777>

2 Sentences were found in a text with the title: „ingentaconnect Performance of Single Comb White Leghorn fed“, located at: <http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/els/03778401/1996/00000057/00000001/art00852>

2 Sentences were found in a text with the title: „Veterinaria Kubani :: Rodafen correction of the immune“, located at: [http://vetkuban.com/en/num5\\_20123.html](http://vetkuban.com/en/num5_20123.html)  
[http://vetkuban.com/en/num5\\_20123.html?template=print](http://vetkuban.com/en/num5_20123.html?template=print)

2 Sentences were found in a text with the title: „Effects of Dried Whey (Prebiotics) and Probiotics in“, located at: <http://www.medwelljournals.com/ref.php?doi=javaa.2010.1996.2000>  
<http://www.medwelljournals.com/fulltext/?doi=javaa.2010.1996.2000>

2 Sentences were found in a text with the title: „www.agren.cl /searchtext.xml“, located at: <http://www.agren.cl/revistadcsav/1/files/search/searchtext.xml>

2 Sentences were found in a text with the title: „Introduction /xml“, located at: <http://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/10/8/3531/xml>

▶ In 62 further documents exactly one sentence was found. (click to toggle view)

Subsequent the examined text extract:

1

**EFFECT OF LIQUID PROBIOTICS MIXED CULTURE SUPPLEMENTS  
 THROUGH DRINKING WATER ON LAYING HENS PERFORMANCE  
 AND YOLK CHOLESTEROL**

Pambuka S. R. <sup>(1)</sup>, O. Sjojfan <sup>(2)</sup>, L. E. Radiati <sup>(3)</sup>, E. Widodo <sup>(2)</sup> and I. H. Djunaidi <sup>(2)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Poultry Production, IPB, Bogor, Indonesia; <sup>(2)</sup> Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Poultry Production, IPB, Bogor, Indonesia; <sup>(3)</sup> Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Poultry Production, IPB, Bogor, Indonesia

Magister Student on Department of Feed and Animal Nutrition. Lecturer Staff on Department of Feed and Animal Nutrition.  
 \*) Lecturer Staff on Department of Animal Product Technology University of Brawijaya, Malang, Indonesia.  
 E-mail: 116050117011008@mail.uib.ac.id; rakaart06@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

The effects of Liquid Probiotic Mixed Culture (LPMC) on laying hens performance were studied. One hundred twenty eight 44-wk-old Isa Brown layers were randomly divided into 2 groups with 64 laying hens or layers in two groups. Layers in first group were fed with commercial feed as antibiotic contained diets; the other fed with self-mixed feed as antibiotic free diets. Both of groups had different LPMC level : control, 0.15 %, 0.30 % and 0.45 % of LPMC (v/v) added in water fount. The method used in this research was completely randomized design and followed by Duncan's multiple tests. The results showed better improvements on antibiotic free diets in decreasing yolk cholesterol ( $P < 0.01$ ). LPMC supplementation did not improve performances either on antibiotic free and commercial diets. Increased level of LPMC supplementation did not give significant effect on feed and water consumption, hen day production, egg weight, feed conversion ( $P > 0.05$ ), whereas overall variables has improvement tendency numerically and commercial feed groups has better performances than antibiotic free diets. LPMC supplementation not improves layers performance but could be substitute antibiotics.

**Key words:** liquid probiotic mixed culture, antibiotic, laying hens performance, yolk cholesterol

## INTRODUCTION

Over a decade ago a significant proportion of the European poultry industry removed in-feed antibiotic growth promoters (AGPs) following pressure from the major markets due to concerns regarding possible antibiotic resistance (Ratcliff, 2000). Indonesian poultry industry also concerned it, thus researcher attempt to substitute antibiotics use but until now the efforts have serious problems, one of them was difficulty on application and haven't significant effect and an ignorance about antibiotics impact (Kompang, 2004). Over the years the word probiotic has been used in several different ways to replacing probiotic. Since Metchnikoff's work with beneficial bacteria in sour milk called *bulgarian bacillus* (Fuller, 1992).

The use of probiotics on current science have developed. Fuller (1989) later gave a unique definition of probiotics as a live microbial feed supplement which beneficially affects the host animal by improving its intestinal microbial balance.

Probiotics have several beneficial impact, including stimulating appetite (Nahashon et al., 1992; Nahashon et al., 1993), improve intestinal microbial balance (Fuller, 1989), stimulate the immune system (Toms and Powrie, 2001), produce the digestive enzyme (Gilliland and Kim, 1984; Saarela et al., 2000), utilize undigestible carbohydrate (Prins, 1977), stimulate lactic acid and volatile fatty acids production (Bailey, 1987), produce toxic compounds such as volatile fatty acids and release bacteriocins (Rolfé, 2000) that compete with other microbes for adhesive site (Dunham et al., 1993). Reduce meat and yolk cholesterol by secretion bile salt hydrolase (Begley et al., 2006). Regarding the controversial results about using biological additives, the strain, concentration and form of them (viability, dryness or their products) should be considered (Mahdavi et al., 2005). Feeding viable *Lactobacillus* at 1100 mg/kg ( $4.4 \times 10^8$  cfu/mg) increased daily feed consumption, egg size, nitrogen and calcium retentions and decreased intestinal length from 7 to 59 weeks of age (Nahashon et al., 1996). Haddadin et al. (1996) reported that egg production, egg size and egg quality were improved by the

addition of a liquid culture of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* to the basal diet. Sjöfjan (2003) reported yolk cholesterol by the addition of *Bacillus* spp. lower than control that using AGPs. Xu et al. (2006) also reported layers when added by 500 mg of *B. subtilis* culture/kg improve egg production, feed consumption, feed conversion and increase eggshell thickness, yolk color, Haugh unit and decreases in yolk cholesterol concentration.

Liquid Probiotics Mixed Culture (LPMC) contains two type microorganisms, *Lactobacillus* sp. and *Bacillus* spp. Premise of the combinations was to escalate beneficial impacts on host either on health status and performances. Probiotics microorganism scarce living individually. However, it more often symbiotic with other, especially endogenous microorganism (Siliker, 1980; Margino and Rahayu,

mixed. The composition and nutrient analysis of the diets showed in Table 1.

Tabel 1. Composition and Nutrient analysis

| Composition              | Unit       | A1 Feed | A2 Feed |
|--------------------------|------------|---------|---------|
| Corn                     | %          | 50,51   | 56,72   |
| Rice bran                | %          | 15,78   | 17      |
| Concentrate              | %          | 31,57   | -       |
| Mineral                  | %          | 1,89    | 1,89    |
| Premix                   | %          | 0,25    | 0,25    |
| Meat Bone Meal           | %          | -       | 3       |
| Poultry Meat Meal        | %          | -       | 6       |
| Soybean Meal             | %          | -       | 15      |
| DL-methionine            | %          | -       | 0,14    |
| Total                    |            | 100     | 100     |
| <b>Nutrient analysis</b> | <b>***</b> |         |         |

1992; and Panek, 1993). Indonesia is a developing countries. It have many small farmers than huge farmers, which prefer traditional raising method, low input cost and expect high output income. Hence, commercial feed not always a primary option diets, farmers preferred self-mixed feed because give high benefit. The objective of this study was to investigate the effect of probiotic mixed culture supplements through drinking on laying hens performance and egg cholesterol and the comparison on self-mixing feed and commercial feed.

**MATERIALS AND METHOD**

**Animals**

One hundred twenty eight 44-wk-old Isa Brown layers were used. Layers were raised in bamboos double deck stairs cage containing 4 layers with 1-wk for pre-experimental test and 8-wk for LPMC trial on different feed groups and supplementation level. Each cage was provided with plastic feeder and water fount.

**Cultures Used**

LPMC contained two different strain probiotic microorganisms (*Lactobacillus* sp. and *Bacillus* spp.). LPMC was collected from Petrokimia Kayaku Co. Indonesia, and it contained approximately 1x10<sup>9</sup> viable cells/ml.

**Treatments**

The commercial feed was from Ali Farm, East Java, Indonesia and antibiotic free feed was self-

|                           |           |         |         |
|---------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Metabolizable Energy (ME) | (Kkal/kg) | 2805,23 | 2741,37 |
| Crude Protein (CP)        | (%)       | 18,06   | 17,87   |
| Crude Lipid (CL)          | (%)       | 5,13    | 4,41    |
| Crude Fiber (CF)          | (%)       | 6,07    | 9,65    |
| Ca                        | (%)       | 3,87    | 2,84    |
| P                         | (%)       | 0,28    | 0,64    |
| Methionine                | (%)       | 0,31    | 0,43    |
| Lysin                     | (%)       | 0,77    | 0,90    |

Source : \* Ali Farm formulation, East Java, Indonesia  
 \*\* Self-mixed feed formulation  
 \*\*\* Analysis result from Feed Nutrition Laboratory, Brawijaya University, Indonesia

Two fed groups and four level LPMC supplementation, with 4 layers each treatments, were carried out. Layers in treatment 1 were fed commercial diets (A1) with different LPMC supplementation levels on water fount. Those are control (A1L0), 0.15 % v/v (A1L1), 0.30 % v/v (A1L2), 0.45 % v/v (A1L3). Layers in treatment 2 were fed an antibiotic free diet (A2) with different LPMC supplementation levels on water fount. Those are control (A2L0), 0.15 % v/v (A2L1), 0.30 % v/v (A2L2), 0.45 % v/v (A2L3). The experimental period for all treatments was 60 days. Feed was restricted as much as 120 g/layer/day and water drinking was restricted as much as 300 ml/layer/day.

Sampled feed were collected monthly and analyzed for ME, CP, CL, CF, Calcium and phosphorus contents of the experimental diets were calculated, whereas methionine and lysine calculated in the end of research. Hen Day Production (HDP) was recorded for 7 day to check for similar pre-

3

experiment values for treatments. The water consumption and egg weight were determined daily. The feed consumption and Feed Conversion Ratios (FCR) were determined at 7 day intervals. Water and feed consumption, HDP, egg weight, and FCR using formula described by Scott *et al.* (1992). The yolk cholesterol was determined monthly and analysis by Subekti (2006) method.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were analyzed with ANOVA (Steel and Torrie, 1992). The research design used completely randomized design. Differences among treatment were

tested using Duncan’s multiple comparison test and statistical significance was declared at P<0.05 and P<0.01.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

This study evaluated the effects of LPMC on water and feed consumption, HDP, egg weight and FCR. Table 2. showed the effects of LPMC in feed groups on layer hens performances. Table 3. show the effects of LPMC level nested feed groups on layer hens performances.

Table 2. Effect of LPMC supplementation in feed groups on layer hens performances

| Parameter         | Unit         | A1 Feed      | A2 Feed       |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| Feed consumption  | g/layer/day  | 119.90+ 0.08 | 116.72+ 1.37  |
| Water consumption | ml/layer/day | 274.34+ 8.23 | 269.64+ 16.69 |
| HDP               | %            | 91.32+ 0.76  | 74.78+ 1.39   |
| Egg Weight        | g/egg        | 66.66+ 1.37  | 63.12+ 0.58   |
| FCR               |              | 1.98+ 0.06   | 2.65+ 1.37    |
| Yolk cholesterol  | mg/100mg     | 216.49+ 0.42 | 213.82+ 0.68  |

During the 8-wk period feeding trial, the comparasion within commercial feed as antibiotic contained diets and self-mixed feed as antibiotic free diets was showed on table 2. Best results on feed

size, while on commercial feed was homogenous. Rizal (2006) reported feed consumption affected by physic look of feed, Layers prefer crumble form rather than mash form of feed and nutrient content was

consumption (119.90±0.08), water consumption (274.34±8.23), HDP (91.32±0.76), egg weight (66.66±1.37), FCR (1.98±0.06) was found in the group consuming commercial feed than self-mixed feed, whereas yolk cholesterol (213.82± 0.68) was better in the group consuming self-mixed feed than commercial feed. No major incidence of mortality was observed during the entire experimental period.

In addition, Lower feed consumption on self-mixed feed primary caused by heterogenic particle

instrumental on feed consumption. LPMC supplementation did not improve the variables on self-mixed feed, even the feed was antibiotic free diets. The results was inconsistent with Sellars (1991) report, probiotic addition could be improves nutrient availability in intestine and recover nutrient intestinal absorption, so that give effectively decrease feed consumption because layers have enough nutrient for maintenance and production.

Table 3. Effect of LPMC supplementation level nested feed groups on layer hens performances

| Feed Groups | Level         | Parameter         |                    |            |             |            |                           |
|-------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------|------------|---------------------------|
|             |               | Feed consumption* | Water consumption* | HDP*       | Egg Weight* | FCR*       | Cholesterol **            |
|             |               | g/layer/day       | ml/layer/day       | (%)        | (g/egg)     |            | (mg/100mg)                |
| A1          | (0 %) control | 119.91±0.04       | 279.56±23.53       | 90.63±5.75 | 65.22±1.59  | 2.05±0.12  | 216.13±1.15               |
|             | (0.15 %) L1   | 119.79±0.12       | 262.10±2.91        | 91.07±1.82 | 65.81±1.81  | 2.01±0.05  | 216.83±0.83               |
|             | (0.30 %) L2   | 119.94±0.01       | 276.96±6.08        | 91.18±3.31 | 67.50±1.39  | 1.92±0.10  | 216.88±0.64               |
|             | (0.45 %) L3   | 119.96±0.06       | 278.75±16.31       | 92.41±3.46 | 68.12±2.04  | 1.95±0.02  | 216.11±0.29               |
| A2          | (0 %) control | 115.85±1.96       | 259.15±7.01        | 73.55±4.00 | 63.12±1.46  | 2.48± 0.11 | 214.75±0.91 <sup>b</sup>  |
|             | (0.15 %) L1   | 118.00±0.92       | 274.43±22.74       | 73.66±3.84 | 63.23±1.19  | 2.70± 0.19 | 213.85±0.27 <sup>ab</sup> |
|             | (0.30 %) L2   | 117.76±1.90       | 291.02±38.08       | 75.56±5.21 | 63.77±0.90  | 2.66± 0.22 | 213.50±0.59 <sup>a</sup>  |
|             | (0.45 %) L3   | 115.25±2.28       | 253.98±9.98        | 76.34±3.05 | 62.35±1.79  | 2.78± 0.29 | 213.19±0.45 <sup>a</sup>  |

Attn: A1 Feed = commercial feed; A2 Feed = self-mixed feed

4

Means ± SEM with different superscript are very significantly different (\*\*) (P<0,01) and significantly different (\*) (P>0,05).

The result showed that comparison between feed consumption and water consumption was approximately 1 : 2.30. It could be indicate increasing 1 g feed consumption resulting in increased 2.30 ml water consumption. These results corroborate an earlier study by Ensminger *et al.* (1990) indicated that commonly layers consume water 2 times greater than the amount of feed consumed as drinking water. Water serves as a solvent and transport nutrients in layers to spread around the body so that it takes more water than food. HDP, egg weight and FCR closely related with feed intake and feed nutrient, this means that the nutrient content of self-mixed feed are inadequate enough to forming an egg.

Nested model design analysis is conducted for determining the best result from two feed groups in this research, and the best LPMC level involve the type of feed with no relationship between feed groups.

Feed group 1 was commercial feed as antibiotic contained diets has the highest result on feed consumption was found on 0.45 % (v/v) LPMC supplementation (119.96±0.06) and lowest on 0.15 % (v/v) LPMC supplementation (119.79±0.12); water consumption has the highest result on control (279.56±23.53) and lowest on 0.15 % (v/v) LPMC supplementation (262.10±2.91); HDP has the highest result on 0.45 % (v/v) LPMC supplementation (92.41±3.46) and lowest on control (90.63±5.75); egg weight has the highest result on 0.45 % (v/v) LPMC supplementation (68.12±2.04) and lowest on control (65.22±1.59); FCR has the highest result on control (2.05±0.12) and lowest on 0.30 % (v/v) LPMC supplementation (1.92±0.10); yolk cholesterol has the highest result on 0.30 % (v/v) LPMC supplementation (216.88±0.64) and lowest on 0.45 % (v/v) LPMC supplementation (216.11±0.29).

Feed group 2 was self-mixed feed as antibiotic

and lowest on control (63.12±1.46); FCR has the highest result on 0.45 % (v/v) LPMC supplementation (2.78± 0.29) and lowest on control (2.48± 0.11); yolk cholesterol has the highest result on control (214.75±0.91) and lowest on 0.45 % (v/v) LPMC supplementation (213.19±0.45).

In addition, statistical analysis result on Feed and water consumption, HDP, egg weight and FCR was no significantly different on consumption (P>0.05), but yolk cholesterol was significantly different (P<0.01). These results was in line with Kompiang (2004) report, that indicate the addition of either GPA, *Bacillus apriarius* or commercial probiotic did not affect significantly on HDP, egg weight and FCR.

In conclusion, the addition of liquid probiotic mixed cultures gives a better effect on the layers fed with self-mixed feed than commercial feed in lowering yolk cholesterol. While, HDP, egg weight, and FCR better on commercial feed because of the feed consumption were lower in layers fed with self-mixed feed and liquid probiotic mixed cultures did not affect water consumption. Higher levels liquid probiotic mixed cultures addition trough drinking water will further lowering yolk cholesterol. However, no significant effect on feed and water consumption, HDP, egg weight and FCR.

liquid probiotic mixed cultures is applicable for laying hens. In the subsequent application of feed free of antibiotics should be used with proper feed processing for improving positive effect. Probiotics supplementation has not shown the optimum point in this research. Therefore, further research should focus on appropriate technology in probiotic manufacturing to improve the quality of probiotic and investigating

feed group 2 was semi-mixed feed as autotrophic free diets has the highest result on feed consumption was found on 0.15 % (v/v) LPMC supplementation (118.00+0.92) and lowest on 0.45 % (v/v) LPMC supplementation (115.25+2.28); water consumption has the highest result on 0.30 % (v/v) LPMC supplementation (117.76+1.90) and lowest on 0.45 % (v/v) LPMC supplementation (253.98+9.98); HDP has the highest result on 0.45 % (v/v) LPMC supplementation (76.34+3.05) and lowest on control (73.55+4.00); egg weight has the highest result on 0.30 % (v/v) LPMC supplementation (63.77+0.90)

gastrointestinal flora and mucosal cellular and humoral immunities when using probiotic as a substitute on improving business efficiency in small poultry farm.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We express our gratitude to the technicians at Ali farm for their excellent care of the animals and their skillful technical assistance in collecting data. We thank to staff of Feed Nutrition Laboratory for an excellent introduction to the nutrient analysis

technique. Financial support from the Petrokimia Kayaku Corp. is greatly appreciated.

#### REFERENCES

- Bailey, J.S. (1987). Factors affecting microbial competitive exclusion in poultry overview-outstanding symposia in food science and technology. *Food. Tec.* July: 88- 92.
- Begley, M., C. Hill, and C. G. M. Gahan. (2006). Bile Salt Hydrolase Activity in Probiotics. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72 (3):1729-1738.
- Dunham, H.J., C. William, F.W. Edens, I.A. Casas and W.J. Dobrogosz. (1993). Lactobacillus reuteri immunomodulation of stressor associated disease in newly hatched chickens and turkeys. *Poult. Sci.*, 72 (Suppl. 1): 103 (Abstr).
- Ensminger, M. E., J. E. Oldfield and W. W. Heinemann. (1990). Feed and Nutrition. 2nd Edition. Ensminger Publishing Company. California, USA.
- Fuller, R. (1989). Probiotic in man and animals. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.* 66: 365 – 378.
- Fuller, R. (1992). History and development of probiotics. In: Probiotics The Scientific Basis. Fuller. (Ed.). Chapman & Hall. London, New York, Tokyo, Melbourne, Madras.
- Gilliland, S.E., Nelson, C.R. & Maxwell, C., (1985). Assimilation of cholesterol by Lactobacillus acidophilus. *Applied and environmental microbiology*, 49(2), pp.377-81.
- Haddadin, M.S.Y., S.M. Abdulrahim, E.A.R. H Ashlamoun and R.K. Robinson. (1996). The effect of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* on the production and chemical composition of hen eggs. *Poultry Sci.* 75: 491-494.
- Kompiang, I.P. Supriyati dan O. Sofjan. (2004). Pengaruh Suplementasi *Bacillus apiarius* Terhadap Penampilan Ayam Petelur. *JITV*. Vol. 9 No. 1 Th. 2004
- Mahdavi, A.H., H.R. Rahmani, J. Pourreza. (2005). Effect of Probiotic Supplements on Egg Quality and Laying Hen's Performance. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 4(7), pp.488-492.
- Nahashon, S.N., H.S. Nakaue and L.W. Mirosh. (1992). Effect of direct-fed microbials on nutrient retention and production parameters of laying pullets. *Poult. Sci.*, 71(suppl. 1): 111(Abstr).
- Nahashon, S.N., H.S. Nakaue and L.W. Mirosh. (1993). Effect of direct fed microbials on nutrient retention and productive parameters of Single Comb White Leghorn pullets. *Poult. Sci.*, 72 (Suppl. 1): 87 (Abstr).
- Nahashon, S.N., H.S. Nakaue and I.W. Mirosh. (1996). Performance of single comb white leghorn fed a diet supplemented with a live microbial during the growth and egg laying phases. *Anim. Feed. Sci. Tec.*, 57: 25-38.
- Prins, R.A., (1977). Biochemical activities of gut microorganisms. In: microbial ecology of the gut. Clarke, R.T.J and T. Hauchop (Eds). Academic press, London, pp: 73-183.
- Ratcliff, J. (2001). European Poultry Production Without Antibiotic Growth Promoters-One Year On. *Proc. Aust. Poult. Sci. Sym.* 2001.
- Rizal, Y. (2006). Poultry Nutrition Science. Padang: Andalas University Press.
- Rolf, R.D., (2000). The role of probiotic cultures in the 396S-402S.
- Scott, M.L., M. Nesheim., and R.J. Young. (1992). Nutrition Of The Chicken. Fifth Ed. Scott, M. L. and Associates. Ithaca. New York.
- Sellars, R.I. (1991). *Acidophilus* products. In: Therapeutic Properties of Fermented Milks. R OBINSON (Ed.). Chapman & Hall. London, New York, Tokyo, Melbourne, Madras
- Siliker, J.H. (1980). Microbial Ecology of Food. Academic Press. New York
- Margino, S., and E.S. Rahayu. (1992). Isolation and Identification Yeast and Mold. Biotechnology Centre. Gajah Mada University. Yogyakarta.
- Panek, A.D. (1993). Yeast - 100 Year of Contribution to Biotechnology. *Brazilian Journal Medicine Biological Research* 26:337-341.
- Sjofjan, O. (2003). Probiotics study (Aspergillus niger and Bacillus spp.) As Feed Rations and Implications effect on gut microflora and look Laying Chicken Production. Disertation (Unpublished). Padjajaran University, Bandung.
- Steel, R.G.D. dan J.H. Torrie. (1992). Statistics Principles and Procedures, a Biometric Approach. Gramedia Co. Jakarta.
- Subekti, S., G.P. Wiranda, W. Manalu, dan T.B. Murdiati. (2006). Effect of Leaf Flour cinnamon and cinnamon Leaf Extract (Sauropus androgynus L. Merr) as substituted rations to Produce Products Japanese quail Low Cholesterol. *Jurnal Venteriner VOL. 11* : 254 – 259.
- Toms, C. and F. Powrie. (2001). Control of intestinal inflammation by regulatory T cells. *Microbes Infect.*, 3: 929-935.
- Xu, C.L. et al., (2006). Effects of a dried *Bacillus subtilis* culture on egg quality. *Poultry science*, 85(2), pp.364-8.

.....