Experimental Infection of Local Domestic and Feral (Columba livia domestica) Pigeons with Local Isolate of H9N2 Influenza Virus: Virological and Histopathological Study

Ahmed Raad Rasheed¹, Karim Sadun Al-Ajeeli² and Amer Khazaal Al-Azawy²*
¹Department of Animal Production, Directorate of Animal Resource, Ministry of Agriculture, Iraq
²Department of Microbiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Diyala, Iraq
*Corresponding author’s Email: amer_alazawy@yahoo.com; ORCID: 0000-0002-4422-5442

ABSTRACT

A local isolate of low pathogenic Avian Influenza Virus (AIV) H9N2 subtype was used in experimental infection of 50 domestic and 50 feral pigeons (Columba livia domestica) to determine the susceptibility of these birds to H9N2 infections and to study its histopathological effects on vaccinated and unvaccinated pigeons with H9N2 commercial vaccine. The birds were divided into five groups. Groups A and C contained 20 feral pigeons, B and D contained 20 domesticated pigeons. Group E contained 10 feral and 10 domesticated pigeons that were used as unvaccinated controls. Groups A and B were vaccinated with H9N2 and Newcastle disease virus commercial vaccines. Group C and D were vaccinated with Newcastle disease virus vaccine only. All groups except E were challenged with a local isolate of H9N2 serotype. Antibodies titers against AIV were estimated pre and post-vaccination using ELISA. The results indicated low antibody titers against AIV in all groups in pre-vaccination that ranged between 152.83 ± 42.01 and 337.00 ± 150.76 with no significant differences between groups. Post-vaccination antibody evaluation indicated high titers of anti-AIV antibodies in groups A and B (740.13 ± 214.38 and 673.00 ± 242.40, respectively) in comparison to pre-vaccination levels. Clinical signs appeared on 5th day post-vaccination that included mild respiratory signs, digestive disorders, and conjunctivitis in some birds of all groups. Histopathological changes in affected tissues appeared as moderate to severe multifocal necrosis diffused in the parenchymal cells of lung tissues. Infiltration with mononuclear inflammatory cells was also observed in trachea and liver of infected pigeons but mild changes were observed in intestine. The challenge virus was re-isolated in embryonated hen’s eggs of nine days old by inoculation in allantoic cavity using samples collected from tissues and cloaca of infected pigeons showing clear clinical signs. The re-isolated virus was detected by the haemagglutination test using chicken RBCs and identified by haemagglutination inhibition test using a locally prepared hyperimmune serum to H9N2 in rabbits. In conclusion, pigeons are susceptible to AIV (H9N2) that might facilitate the transmission of the virus to other bird species.
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INTRODUCTION

Avian Influenza Viruses (AIVs) spread widely in birds worldwide; they are contagious but variable in their virulence. Accordingly, they were subdivided into Low Pathogenic AIV (LPAIV) and High Pathogenic AIV (HPAIV) (Imai et al., 2013). The domesticated birds and mammalian are susceptible to AIV and aquatic birds are natural virus reservoirs. AIV infections might cause severe disease in poultry with a mortality rate of more than 90%, mostly associated with HPAIV strains (MacLachlan and Dubovi, 2011).

Influenza A viruses based on genetic and antigenic differences in hemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (NA) are divided into 17 HA (H1 to H17) and 11 NA (N1 to N11) subtypes (Shehata et al., 2015; Mostafa et al., 2018). All these subtypes are grouped in genus Influenzavirus which is classified within the family Orthopoxviridae. Genus Influenzavirus A included viruses with linear single-stranded, negative-sense and segmented (eight segments) RNA genome (MacLachlan and Dubovi 2011). Antigenic shift and antigenic drift are the most common processes that continuously change influenza viruses and lead to the emergence of new influenza virus variants or strains (Lee et al., 2016; Kandeil et al., 2017; Arai et al., 2019).

Influenza virus subtype H9N2 is a LPAI virus and the most widespread avian influenza subtype in poultry
worldwide (Abdelwhab and Abdel-Moneim, 2015; Nagy et al., 2017). In Iraq, H9N2 is endemic since 2004 (Kraidi et al., 2016; Kraidi et al., 2017; Mohamed et al., 2018). This virus has also been reported to cause high mortality rates in broilers (70%) as well as in breeders and layers up to 10% (Khamas, 2008).

Feral and domestic pigeons are found worldwide and can easily cross borders like the wild aquatic birds, and sometimes live close to these aquatic birds. Many reports have mentioned that wild aquatic and domestic birds are reservoirs for influenza A viruses (Abdelwhab and Abdel-Moneim, 2015; Nagy et al., 2017; Kausar et al., 2018). The possibility of transmission of such viruses to pigeons is acceptable as many studies have reported the natural infection of pigeons with the H9N2 influenza virus (Gomaa et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2015; Kandeil et al., 2017; Kausar et al., 2018; Tolba et al., 2018). No data on the isolation of H9N2 from pigeons or experimental infection of pigeons with H9N2 is available in Iraq. Accordingly, the present study aimed to determine the susceptibility of wild and domestic pigeons to the experimental infection with a local isolate of H9N2 LPAIV and to study the efficacy of H9N2 commercial vaccine in pigeons.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethical approval**

Scientific Ethical Committee in the University of Diyala/ Iraq, approved the research and give the ethical number (Vet 14 Medicine November 2018 A and K).

**Study design**

This cross-sectional study was conducted in Diyala province, Iraq, over the period from September 2018 to June 2019. In this study, 100 local domestic and feral pigeons (Columba livia domestica) were used and divided into five groups (A, B, C, D and E) as presented in table 1. All groups were isolated from each other in separated and completely closed animal houses to avoid any contact between them by any means of sharing feed, water, utensil, workers and environment.

**Table 1. Pigeon groups used in the present study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Type of birds of birds</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Wild pigeons</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Vaccinated with H9N2 and NDV*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Domestic pigeons</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Vaccinated with H9N2 and NDV*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Wild pigeons</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Vaccinated with NDV**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Domestic pigeons</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Vaccinated with NDV**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Wild and Domestic pigeons</td>
<td>20 (10 for each type)</td>
<td>Control unvaccinated group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NDV: Newcastle disease virus, *H9N2-NDV commercial vaccine (Nobilis®, MSD Company, Netherlands), **NDV (MSD, LaSota, Netherlands)

**Detection of pre-vaccination antibodies against avian influenza virus**

Avian influenza virus antibodies were measured in all groups by using ELISA kit (Zoetis proFLOK™, avian influenza virus antibody test kit, item MI 49007, USA). Accordingly, blood samples were collected from wing veins of pigeons, then the sera were separated, labeled and subjected to the ELISA test according to the manufacturer’s instruction.

**Vaccination of pigeons**

Groups A and B were vaccinated with inactivated Newcastle Disease Virus (NDV) and influenza H9N2 inactivated vaccine (Nobilis®, MSD Company, Netherlands) by subcutaneous route (The birds are of different ages). Group C and D were vaccinated with the NDV vaccine (MSD, LaSota, Netherlands) by drinking water. This was done by fasting of pigeons from water for 24 hours and then they were supplemented with distilled water containing the LaSota NDV vaccine.

**Detection of post-vaccination antibodies against avian influenza virus**

Blood samples were collected after 7 days post-vaccination from vaccinated groups with influenza H9N2 vaccine to determine anti-AIV antibodies. For this purpose, ELISA was performed using the AIV ELISA kit (Zoetis proFLOK™, avian influenza virus antibodies kit, item MI 49007, USA) according to the instruction manual of the manufacturer.

**Challenge virus**

Influenza virus (H9N2) registered in National Center for Biotechnology Information NCBI with accession number (MH368755.1) was kindly provided by Mohammed Abdulkadhim Hussein and Prof. Dr. Emad J.
Khammas from College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Baghdad.

The stock virus (0.1 ml) was inoculated into the allantoic cavity of nine-day-old embryonated hen's eggs. The inoculation site was sealed with wax and inoculated eggs were incubated at 37 °C and observed daily for the death of the embryo. Eggs with dead embryos were removed from the incubator, chilled in a refrigerator at 4°C for a few hours and opened to collect the allantoic fluid. The collected fluid was tested for the presence of H9N2 by slide haemagglutination test using 4% avian red blood cells in sterile normal saline (Webster et al., 2002). H9N2 positive fluid from embryonated eggs was pooled together, labeled and kept frozen at -30 °C until use.

**Titration of stock virus**

Propagated H9N2 was titrated in two ways, using HA and 50% Embryo Infectious Dose (EID50). Haemagglutination test was performed by 2-folds serial dilution of the stock virus in sterile Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) using 96 well plastic plates and according to the method described by Killian (2008). The viral titers were also determined by EID50 using 10-folds serial dilutions of the H9N2 stock virus in sterile PBS. Five, nine-day-old embryonated hen's eggs were used for the inoculation of each viral dilution according to the method described by Reed and Muench (1938).

**Preparation of hyperimmune serum against influenza virus A (H9N2)**

Hyperimmune serum against subtype H9N2 was prepared in rabbits according to the method described by Horwitz and Scharff (1969). For this purpose, three rabbits were raised for hyperimmune serum preparation and two rabbits were used as control. Blood samples were collected from all rabbits before vaccination, then the serum was separated from each sample in sterile test tubes and frozen at -30°C until use. Each rabbit was intramuscularly inoculated with 1 ml of influenza H9N2 vaccine (Nobilis®, MSD Company, Netherlands) virus. The control rabbits were inoculated with 1 ml of sterile normal saline. This inoculation was repeated weekly for successive three weeks. Blood samples were collected from inoculated rabbits one week after the last inoculation. After coagulation, the serum was separated by cold centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 30 minutes at 4 °C. Clear serum was pooled together and titrated by Haemagglutination Inhibition (HI) test according to the method described by Williams (1980) and kept at -30°C until use.

**Challenge of pigeons**

Each pigeon was challenged via a dropping of 0.5 ml (10^5 EID50/ 0.1 ml) of the virus into the nose, trachea, and eyes. All the infected pigeons were observed daily for 15 days.

**Detection of post-challenge antibodies**

Antibodies against subtype H9N2 were determined on 5th day Post-Infection (PI) using the HI test and ELISA. Accordingly, blood samples were collected from infected birds, then sera were separated and subjected to both HI test (using the plate method and locally prepared H9N2 hyperimmune serum) and ELISA (using the same abovementioned ELISA kit).

**Collection and processing of samples from infected pigeons**

Cloacal swab samples and tissue samples (trachea, lung, liver, and intestine) were collected from experimentally infected pigeons at days 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 PI. Each swab sample was placed in a sterile tube containing PBS and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 20 minutes in a cool centrifuge. Then the supernatant was collected and 0.5 ml of antibiotic- antimycotic and antimycoplasma were added to the sample to eliminate other infectious pathogens. After 30 minutes of incubation at room temperature, the sample was kept frozen at -30 °C until use. Tissue samples were divided into two groups. The first group was tested for virus detection by re-isolation in embryonated hen's eggs and identification by the HI test. The second group of samples was subjected to histopathological study according to methods described by Durrani et al. (2008) and Bancroft and Gamble (2008). Sections were examined by light microscopy (Olympic-Japan) and photomicrographs were taken with a digital camera (Omax, USA) for each section.

**Re-isolation of H9N2**

The influenza virus (H9N2) used for pigeons inoculation was re-isolated by the processing of collected tissue and the cloacal swab samples. A 10% suspension of minced tissue samples collected from challenged pigeons was made in sterile PBS, then 0.1 ml of the suspension was inoculated into the allantoic cavity of three embryonated eggs (9-11 days old), incubated at 37 °C and processed 3-4 days PI. The processed and collected allantoic fluid of these eggs was pooled and tested for the presence of the virus using slide haemagglutination test and then identified by HI test using the prepared H9N2 hyperimmune serum.
Statistical analysis
The data were analyzed using SPSS version 22. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Pre-vaccination antibodies
Pre-vaccination antibodies titers against AIV are presented in table 2. Some birds, both feral and domestic pigeons, showed high titers exceeding 600 or 700 units, whereas, in many of birds no antibodies to AIV were found.

Post-vaccination antibodies
Antibodies titers against influenza virus (H9N2) after the vaccination of groups A and B are presented in table 3. The titer of propagated stock influenza virus subtype H9N2 measured by the haemagglutination test was 1024 HAU/0.1 ml. in addition, the propagated virus titer determined by 50% embryo infectious dose was $10^{10.5}$ EID$_{50}$ / 0.1 ml. The virus was re-identified by the use of hyperimmune serum in the HI test and showed an anti-HA antibodies titer of 2048 HIU/0.1 ml of stock serum.

Post-challenge antibody immune response
Antibody titers against AIV in four challenged groups are shown in tables 4 and 5. There was no significant difference in AIV antibody titer between group A and group B, as well as between group C and group D. While significant differences (P<0.05) in antibody titers were observed in each of A and B groups when compared to each of C and D groups. The high titers were found in H9N2 vaccinated and challenged groups.

Table 2. Titers of avian influenza virus antibodies detected by ELISA in the pre-vaccination period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group of pigeon*</th>
<th>Anti AIV antibodies (Mean ± SE)</th>
<th>GMT</th>
<th>%CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (wild)</td>
<td>274.56 ± 88.05</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>23.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (domestic)</td>
<td>278.40 ± 120.45</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>91.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (wild)</td>
<td>225.60 ± 114.25</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>88.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (domestic)</td>
<td>152.83 ± 42.01</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>89.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E (mixed control)</td>
<td>337.00 ± 150.76</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>134.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The pigeons were of different ages. GMT: geometric mean; CV: coefficient of variation; AIV: avian influenza virus; SE: standard error. a No significant differences (p > 0.05).

Table 3. Titers of avian influenza virus antibodies detected by ELISA on 7th day post-vaccination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups*</th>
<th>Number of samples</th>
<th>Mean ± SE</th>
<th>GMT</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (wild pigeon)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>740.13± 214.38*</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>86.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (domestic pigeon)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>673.00± 242.40*</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>99.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The pigeons were of different ages. GMT: geometric mean; CV: coefficient of variation; SE: Standard error. a No significant difference (p > 0.05).

Table 4. Detection of avian influenza virus antibodies by haemagglutination inhibition test in challenged groups of pigeons with avian influenza virus subtype H9N2 at 5 days post-challenge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean HI titre*</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Titers were calculated in HI units (HIU)/100µl of serum sample. HI: haemagglutination inhibition

Table 5. Detection of avian influenza virus antibodies by ELISA in challenged groups of pigeons with avian influenza virus subtype H9N2 at 5 days post-challenge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Anti AIV antibodies (Mean± SE)</th>
<th>GMT</th>
<th>%CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1931.00± 453.24</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>63.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1845.61± 343.94</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>56.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>888.11± 163.57</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>51.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>955.66± 218.86</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>63.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different superscript letters indicate significant differences (p<0.05) between groups. SE: Standard error
Clinical signs
Clinical signs appeared in pigeons after 5 days PI and no mortality rates were recorded. The clinical signs disappeared at 8 and 10 days PI. Sporadic cases with mild depression were found in groups A and B, also there were two cases with bilateral conjunctivitis in each group (Figures 1A and B). Some birds showed signs of mild respiratory disorders such as nasal discharge and sneezing. Moderate clinical signs of respiratory disorders were observed in many pigeons of group C and D. One pigeon in group D showed subcutaneous hemorrhage in non-feathered skin of legs (Figure 1C). The clinical signs in groups A, B, and D disappeared on day 8 PI, whereas the clinical signs in group C disappeared on day 9 PI.

Histopathological findings
Pathological changes in trachea and lung
The results of the histopathological examination on pigeons inoculated orally or intranasally with AIV indicated obvious pathological lesions accompanied by moderate to severe inflammation. These results revealed that there were clear pathological changes in lung some histological changes observed had parenchyma, ranging from moderate to severe multifocal necrosis diffused in the lung parenchyma. Also, infiltration with mononuclear inflammatory cells was detected in some lung areas associated with mild to severe inflammation (Figure 2). Additionally, some lung tissue exhibited clear edema and hemorrhage associated with severe congestion, degeneration and necrosis in the lung parenchyma (Figure 2). Furthermore, severe inflammatory cell infiltration was observed in the edges of lung parenchyma with clear degeneration and necrosis. Histological examination also showed alveolar damage with secretions containing blood, cell debris, and inflammatory cells.

There was obvious multifocal damage and desquamation of the pseudostratified columnar epithelium of the trachea of infected birds with AIV. Also, degeneration of mucosal gland tissue extended to the submucosa was observed. Furthermore, clear desquamation of epithelial cells into the luminal space was observed and associated with hemorrhage. The histological changes in parenchymal tissue of lung showed obvious damage to vascular endothelial cells and micro-thrombosis.

In addition to the abovementioned findings, damage and severe inflammation of lung parenchyma and bronchi accompanied by edema and hemorrhage were observed in group B (Figure 3). There was an obvious degeneration and desquamation of the pseudostratified columnar epithelium of trachea as well as clear degeneration of mucous glands. Also, some areas of the tracheal ring showed severe damage and hypertrophy in chondrocytes.

Pathological changes of liver and intestine
The pathological changes in liver (Figure 4) and intestines (Figure 5) revealed a mild to moderate inflammation and local necrosis close to the hepatic veins, whereas in intestine, these changes were observed in the submucosa.

Re-isolation and identification of the challenge virus
The influenza virus (H9N2) was re-isolated from all tissue samples and cloaca swabs collected from pigeons with clinical signs in different groups. The re-isolated virus was detected by HA and identified by HI.
Figure 2. Photomicrographs of lung tissues of pigeons infected with influenza virus (H9N2). A and A1: The normal lung tissue. B and B1: The local infiltration of inflammatory cells represented by black arrows, whereas yellow arrows indicated the congestion and hemorrhage in alveoli (A and B at 10X; A1 and B1 at 40X) (H&E).

Figure 3. Photomicrographs of trachea and lung of pigeons infected with avian influenza virus (H9N2). A and A1: Normal trachea, simple alveolar mucous glands (red arrows). B and B1: Clear shrinkage and degeneration in the epithelium and mucous gland in the trachea (green arrows). C and C1: The pathological changes exhibited in bronchi and lung parenchyma accompanied by severe infiltration of inflammatory cells (black arrows) and severe hemorrhage and edema (yellow arrows). (A, B, C at 10X; A1, B1, C1 at 40X) (H&E).
Figure 4. Photomicrographs of liver of pigeons infected with avian influenza virus (H9N2). A and A1: Normal liver. B and B1: Focal necrosis and infiltration of the inflammatory cells (black arrows) (A and B at 10X; A1 and B1 at 40X) (H&E).

Figure 5. Photomicrographs of the intestine of pigeons infected with the avian influenza virus (H9N2). A and A1: Normal intestine. B and B1: Infiltration of the inflammatory cells (black arrows) (A and B at 10X, A1 and B1 at 40X) (H&E).
DISCUSSION

Influenza virus subtype H9N2 affects a broad spectrum of species including birds and mammals (Nagy et al., 2017). In poultry, it was reported to cause mild respiratory and digestive infection, but it may cause asymptomatic infections in other birds (Kandeil et al., 2017). Recent studies showed that co-circulation of other avian viruses like H5N1 and H9N2 in poultry farming and live bird markets had increased the risk of human exposure, resulting in complications of the epidemiological situation and raising a concern for potential emergence of new influenza A virus pandemic due to antigenic shift and drift resulted from gene exchange of the fragmented genome in case of mixed infection of the host with two subtypes of influenza virus type A (Kim, 2018). In Iraq, H9N2 is circulating among poultry farms (Khamas, 2008; Abdul-Sada, 2015; Kraid et al., 2017; Hussein, 2019) and was serologically detected in pigeons (AL-Attar et al., 2008). The titer of hyperimmune serum in this test appeared as $2^{16}$ HIU / 0.1 ml of stock serum. The above-mentioned findings are mentioned by other researchers (Xu et al., 2018).

Clinical signs

The current study did not show any mortality rate among experimentally infected pigeons but only mild and moderate clinical signs. Abolink (2014) mentioned that a very small number of pigeons infected with either HPAIV or LPAIV died in 22 different studies worldwide since 1944. Viruses such as H9N2 were proved to cause considerable morbidity but low or no mortality (Abolink, 2014; Hussein, 2019). Some other studies mentioned that ducks, gulls, starlings, and pigeons were less susceptible to AIV and displayed few or no clinical signs (MacLachlan and Dubovi, 2011). Generally, clinical signs appeared less in groups A and B compared to C and D. This may be attributed to vaccination of groups A and B with the H9N2 vaccine. It is well known that vaccination can reduce the severity of infection but cannot prevent it (Ebrahimim et al., 2011; Bahari et al., 2015). A few cases of conjunctivitis were recorded in both domesticated and wild pigeons. This result agreed with the findings obtained by Kaleta and Honicke (2004) who reported one case of conjunctivitis out of 11 experimentally infected pigeons with the influenza virus. The clinical signs in infected pigeons of the present study were similar to those appeared in experimentally infected broiler chickens with H9N2 isolated from an outbreak in Iran. Field and experimentally infected birds showed similar clinical signs including sneezing, coughing and depression (Nili and Asasi, 2003). Similar findings were reported by another study in China (Sun and Liu, 2015). Experimental infection in broiler chickens using H9N2 and LaSota NDV vaccine revealed the same abovementioned clinical signs (Ellakany et al., 2018). Signs related to enteric infection with H9N2 such as greenish diarrhea and respiratory signs were also reported by (Tolba et al., 2017) in pigeons of Egyptian commercial farms and live birds market during winter of 2015-2016. The same authors mentioned the infection of humans with both H5N1 and H9N2. In another study in Pakistan, sneezing, nasal discharge, and other respiratory signs were reported in different species of birds including sparrows, chickens, jungle fowl, and quails infected directly or by contact with the virus. These clinical signs were observed 2 to 5 days PI (Iqbal et al., 2013).

Antibody immune response

All pigeons in the present study were subjected to antibody screening against AIV before starting vaccination and challenge with the virus. The pre-vaccination anti-AIV antibody ranged from 152.83 ± 42.01 to 337.00 ± 150.76; indicating the exposure of such birds to AIV somewhere or somehow. The study conducted by Turner et al. (2017) on the live bird markets in Bangladesh showed that H9N2 viruses were detected at high frequencies (76–100%) in chickens, pigeons, and quail, while 9% of samples collected from ducks were positive for H9N2. The presence of negative serum samples to AIV in pigeons might indicate the inexperience of such birds to AIV. The possibility of the presence of unexposed pigeons to AIV was reported by many studies. Mohammadi et al. (2010) found that 34% of serum samples collected from pigeons were positive for H9N2 antibodies. Tolba et al. (2018) detected antibodies against H9N2 in 6.5% of serum samples collected from pigeons. Serum samples collected from pigeons in Northern Saudi Arabia were negative for antibodies against H3, H5, and H9 serotypes of AIV (Alkhalaf, 2010). In a study conducted in Mosul province of Iraq, ELISA and HI tests were used for the detection of AIV antibodies in pigeons and starlings (AL-Attar et al., 2008). The results showed that 81.8% of pigeons were positive to AIV H9N2 antibodies when their sera were tested by ELISA, whereas, 50% of the same serum samples were positive when tested by the HI test. In addition, the serum samples collected from starlings were negative for AIV H9N2 antibodies and the birds did not show any clinical signs of influenza. The same authors concluded that pigeons can be infected with AIV subtype
H9N2 and they may play an important role in AIV spreading as natural carriers.

Both groups vaccinated with inactivated H9N2 (A and B) showed a significant increase (P<0.05) in AIV antibodies compared to antibody levels in the same groups before vaccination. Post-challenge AIV antibody levels showed a significant increase compared to post-vaccination AIV antibody levels. Increased antibody levels in vaccinated groups (A and B) with inactivated H9N2 significantly differed from the antibody level of challenged but un-vaccinated groups (C and D). The increase in antibody levels of C and D groups can be attributed to the effects of challenge virus and might be also attributed to pre-exposure of birds to circulating H9N2 before challenge (Quinn et al., 2011).

The present study demonstrated that pigeon vaccination with H9N2 inactivated vaccine did not prevent the infection with the local isolate of H9N2. All challenged groups showed clinical signs of influenza virus infection, and the virus was detected by conventional RT-PCR and by real-time RT-PCR (unpublished data). Furthermore, the virus was re-isolated from samples collected from challenged pigeons and identified by the HI test. Generally, the challenge virus was detected for longer periods of time in samples collected from unvaccinated groups compared to vaccinated groups and this might be attributed to immune responses and high antibody titers induced in vaccinated groups after challenge with live H9N2 virus that lead to the earlier clearance of the virus from infected birds (Quinn et al., 2011). Similar findings were reported in Iran when the inactivated H9N2 vaccine did not completely prevent the experimental infection with a field isolate of AIV subtype H9N2 in quails (Ebrahimi et al., 2011).

Several commercial AIV vaccines based on strains isolated during the late 20th century were widely used in domestic poultry (Sun et al., 2012). However, some studies showed that H9N2 viruses were isolated from vaccinated chicken flocks, hence some vaccines did not provide complete protection against viral infection (Bahari et al., 2015; Shen et al., 2015).

Partial protection against circulating H9N2 induced by local H9N2 inactivated vaccine was also reported in Korea (Lee et al., 2011). Accordingly, the selection of suitable local isolate and strain for vaccine production against H9N2 LPAI is recommended (Sun et al., 2012). Mutations and inter and intra-reassortment are considered as factors affecting the ability of a vaccine to protect against AIV H9N2. These factors might be associated with the emergence of new virus strains with new biological features (Ashraf et al., 2017).

**Histopathology**

The pathogenicity of AIVs in pigeons and the vulnerability of pigeons for various subtypes of avian influenza have investigated in some studies (Yamamoto et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2015). Furthermore, the ability of pigeons in being carrier and reservoir for these viruses has been studied (Liu et al., 2007). However, there is little literature on the pathophysiological effects of AIV H9N2 on pigeons. In the current study, H9N2 subtype was found to cause severe tracheitis and pneumonia as well as mild pathological changes in liver and intestine of infected pigeons. There are similarities between these results and the histopathological observations reported in chickens infected with AIV H9N2 (Hassan et al., 2017; Arafat et al., 2018).

The presence of such pathological changes as well as direct pathogenicity in the trachea and lung indicate that H9N2 has tissue tropism for these organs (Halblolvarid et al., 2004). It can also be assumed that the presence of multifocal necrosis in the liver indicates a potential systemic viral infection with the H9N2 (Bano et al., 2003). In this study, the most important pathological changes were widespread hemorrhages with the massive edema and congestion in the lung parenchyma. Also, there was prominent degeneration in the alveolar epithelium. The histopathologic findings obtained in this study are in line with the observations of other studies on Japanese quail (Ebrahimi et al., 2010; Mehrabadi et al., 2018) and in Muscovy duck (Wang et al., 2019) infected with AIV H9N2.

The severe pathological changes observed in the trachea and lungs could be attributed to the presence of SAA2, 6 Gal receptors in the epithelial surfaces of the pharynx, trachea and bronchial tree of pigeons, which is the same receptors found for human influenza viruses (Liu et al., 2009). Although some studies reported that pigeons are less or not susceptible to AIV such as the H5N1serotypes (Liu et al., 2007), the present study demonstrated the pathophysiological aspects of H9N2 in pigeons.

**CONCLUSION**

The influenza virus (H9N2) can cause mild infection in wild and domestic pigeons that might facilitate the transmission of H9N2 to other birds. The mixed infection of pigeons with different subtypes of the influenza virus
may increase the risk of generation of new virulent subtypes. Further research should be conducted to monitor the virus and to investigate the interactions between the H9N2 and other serotypes in pigeons. Furthermore, commercial vaccines, regardless of the level of the antibody obtained, did not prevent the infection with serotype H9N2 but reduce its virulence.
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