

ISSN: 2322-455X

Scienceline Publication

Journal of World's Poultry Research

An international peer-reviewed journal which publishes in electronic format

Volume 7, Issue 4, December 2017

J. World Poult. Res. 7 (4): December 25, 2017.

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief

Daryoush Babazadeh, DVM, DVSc, PhD of Avian/Poultry Diseases, School of Veterinary Medicine, Shiraz University, Shiraz, IRAN (<u>ORCID ID</u>; <u>Publons</u>; <u>Full Member of WAME</u>; <u>Member of IAVE</u>; Email: <u>daryoush.babazadeh@shirazu.ac.ir</u>);

Managing Editors

- Samere Ghavami, DVM, DVSc (PhD) of Avian/Poultry Diseases, School of Veterinary Medicine, Shiraz University, IRAN (Email: <u>Ghavami.samere@shirazu.ac.ir</u>)
- Saeid Chekani Azar, PhD, DVM, Animal Physiology; Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Atatürk University, TURKEY (Google Scholar, Email: saeid.azar@atauni.edu.tr)

Associate Editors

- Anjum Sherasiya, Ex-Veterinary Officer, Star, Gulshan Park, NH-8A, Chandrapur Road, Wankaner 363621, Dist. Morbi (Gujarat), INDIA
- Arman Moshaveri, DVM, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Karaj, IRAN
- Sheikh Adil Hamid, PhD, Division of Livestock Production and Management, Faculty of Veterinary Sciences and Animal Husbandry, Shuhama, Srinagar-190006, SKUAST-K, Kashmir, INDIA
- Faezeh Modarresi-Ghazani, Drug Applied Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, IRAN Kai Huang, MD PhD., Postdoctoral Fellow, Baker Institute for Animal Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA
- Mahendra Pal, PhD, DSc, Ex-Professor of Veterinary Public Health, Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Public Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, Addis Ababa University, **ETHIOPIA**
- **Thakur Krishna Shankar Rao,** PhD, Assistant professor, Vanabandhu College of Veterinary Science & Animal Husbandry, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari Gujarat, **INDIA**
- **Thandavan Arthanari Kannan**, PhD, Full professor, Centre for Stem Cell Research and Regenerative Medicine Madras Veterinary College Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences university Chennai-600007, **INDIA**
- Tugay AYAŞAN, PhD, Cukurova Agricultural Research Institute, PK: 01321, ADANA, TURKEY
- Wesley Lyeverton Correia Ribeiro, MSc, DVM, Animal Health, Veterinary Parasitology, and Public Health, Animal welfare and Behavior; College of Veterinary Medicine, State University of Ceará, Av. Paranjana, 1700, Fortaleza, BRAZIL

Language Editor:

Ali Fazel, Master of arts in T.E.S.O.L. University of Nottingham, Semenyih, Selanger, MALAYSIA Faezeh Modarresi-Ghazan, Drug Applied Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, IRAN

Reviewers

- Ali Olfati, PhD Candidate of Animal Reproduction Physiology; Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, IRAN
- Ahmed Ragab Elbestawy, PhD, Assistant Lecturer of poultry diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine- Damanhour University, Egypt
- Ahmed Abdel-Kareem Abuoghaba, M.Sc., PhD, Dept. of poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Sohag University, Sohag, Egypt
- Avinash Warundeo Lakkawar, MVSc, PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Pathology, Rajiv Gandhi Institute of Veterinary Education and Research (RIVER), Kurumbapet, Pondicherry- 605009, INDIA
- **Eilyad Issabeagloo**, PhD, Assistant Prof. of Pharmacology; Dep. Basic Sciences, Faculty of medical Sciences, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, **IRAN**
- Farooz Ahmad Lone, PhD, Assistant Prof. Semen Cryopreservation, Estrous induction, In vitro maturation and fertilization, Reproductive diseases; Division of Animal Reproduction, Gynecology and Obstetrics, Faculty of Veterinary sciences and animal husbandry, Shere-Kashmir University of agricultural sciences and technology of Kashmir, 190006, J&K, INDIA
- **Ghulam Abbas Muhammad Jameel,** PhD, Poultry Science, Institute of Animal Sciences, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, **PAKISTAN**
- Hazim Jabbar Al-Daraji, PhD, Prof. of Avian Reproduction and Physiolgy; University of Baghdad, College of Agriculture, Abu-Ghraib, Baghdad, IRAQ

- Hossein Nikpiran, PhD, Assistant Prof. of Poultry Disease; Dep. Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, IRAN
- John Cassius Moreki, PhD, Nutrition Poultry Science, Breeders; Department of Animal Science and Production, Botswana College of Agriculture, Gaborone, BOTSWANA
- **KARAMALA SUJATHA**, MVSc., PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Veterinary Pathology, College of Veterinary Science, Sri Venkateswara Veterinary University, Tirupati 517502, Andhra Pradesh, **INDIA**
- Konstantinos Koutoulis; DVM, PhD; Avian Pathology, Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Thessaly, Terma Trikalon 224, 43100 Karditsa, Greece
- Maha Mohamed Hady Ali, PhD, Professor of Nutrition and clinical Nutrition, Cairo University, EGYPT

Mahdi Alyari Gavaher, DVM, DVSc Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Karaj, IRAN

- Mahmoud El-Said sedeik, PhD, Associate Professor of Poultry diseases; Department of Poultry and fish Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Alexandria University, EGYPT
- Mohammad A. Hossain, PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Dairy and Poultry Science, Chittagong Veterinary and Animal Sciences University; Khulshi; Chittagong; **Bangladesh**
- Muhammad Moin Ansari, BVSc & AH, MVSc, PhD (IVRI), NET (ICAR), Dip.MLT, CertAW, LMIVA, LMISVS, LMISVM, MHM, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Faculty of Veterinary Sciences and Animal Husbandry, Division of Veterinary Surgery and Radiology, Shuhama, Alastang, Srinagar-190006 Jammu & Kashmir, INDIA
- **Neveen El Said Reda El Bakary**, Ph.D., Assistant Prof. of Comparative anatomy, Ultrastructure, Histochemistry, Histology; Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, New Damietta, **EGYPT**
- Peyman Bijanzad, PhD, Poultry Disease; Dep. Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary medicine, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, IRAN
- Reza Aghaye, PhD Student, Anatomy, Scientific Staff Member; Dep. Veterinary medicine, Shabestar Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shabestar, IRAN
- Sami Abd El-Hay Farrag, PhD, Poultry Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Menoufia University, Shebin El-Kom, Menoufia, Egypt
- Salwan Mahmood Abdulateef, PhD, Assistant Lecturer Behavior & Environmental Physiology of Poultry; College of Agriculture, University Of AL-Anbar, Republic of IRAQ
- Sesotya Raka Pambuka, MSc, Sinta Prima Feedmill, Poultry and Aqua Feed Formulation, Sulaiman Rd 27A, West Jakarta, INDONESIA
- Sheikh Adil Hamid, PhD, Division of Livestock Production and Management, Faculty of Veterinary Sciences and Animal Husbandry, Shuhama, Srinagar-190006, SKUAST-K, Kashmir, INDIA
- Siamak Sandoughchian; PhD, Immunology; Dep. Immunology, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Juntendo University, JAPAN
- Sina Vahdatpour, DVM-DVMS, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, IRAN
- Saeid Chekani Azar, PhD, Animal Physiology; Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Atatürk University, Erzurum, TURKEY
- Sobhan Firouzi, DVM, DVSc, PhD Student of Avian/Poultry Diseases, School of Veterinary Medicine, Shiraz University, Shiraz, IRAN
- Mohammad Abbasnia, DVM, DVSc, PhD Student of Avian/Poultry Diseases, School of Veterinary Medicine, Shiraz University, Shiraz, IRAN
- Wafaa Abd El-Ghany Abd El-Ghany, PhD, Associate Professor of Poultry and Rabbit Diseases; Department of Poultry Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, Giza, EGYPT
- Yagoob Garedaghi, PhD, Assistant professor, Department of Veterinary Parasitology, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, IRAN
- Muhammad Saeed, PhD candidate, Animal Nutrition and Feed Science, College of Animal Sciences and Feed technology, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, 712100, CHINA
- Tohid Vahdatpour, PhD, Assistant Prof., Physiology; Dep. Animal Sciences, Shabestar Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shabestar, IRAN

Advisory Board

- Kai Huang, MD PhD, Postdoctoral Fellow, Baker Institute for Animal Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA
- Majed H. Mohhamed, PhD, Pathology and Microbiology, Postdoctoral Researcher; Dept. Pathology and Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM, Serdang, Selangor, MALAYSIA
- Anjum Sherasiya, Ex-Veterinary Officer, Star, Gulshan Park, NH-8A, Chandrapur Road, Wankaner 363621, Dist. Morbi (Gujarat), INDIA
- Shahid Nazir, Avian Pathology; School of Veterinary Medicine, Wollo University, Dessie, Amhara Region, Ethiopia

Volume 7 (4); December 25, 2017

Research Paper

Effect of Floor Eggs on Hatchability, Candling, Water Loss, Chick Yield, Chick Weight and Dead in Shell.

Jabbar A and Allah Ditta Y.

J. World Poult. Res. 7(4): 154-158; pii: S2322455X1700019-7





Jabbar A and Allah Ditta Y (2017). Effect of Floor Eggs on Hatchability, Candling, Water Loss, Chick Yield, Chick Weight and Dead in Shell. J. World Poult. Res., 7 (4): 154-158. http://jwpr.science-line.com

A chicken with high quality resulted from a clean egg, not broken, and not containing cracks. This experiment was performed with the goal to evaluate the effect of contaminated eggs on hatchability, egg water loss, chick weight, chick yield, DIS, A grade and B grade chicks. Eggs (Cobb 300, Ross 308, Hubbard classic n=8616960) from six different farms were collected and divided into two groups. Group A containing good quality eggs and group B contaminated eggs or floor eggs. Each farm participated (n=1436160) eggs for sixteen replicates. Candling was significantly better (P < 0.001) in all flocks of group A as compared to B regardless of the age and breed of broiler eggs. The eggs from group B presented significantly less water loss as compared to group A. Chick yield was significantly better for group A than group B. Similarly, Chick weight, quantity of A grade chicks was significantly better for group A than group B. In short floor or contaminated eggs negatively affects the hatchery parameters and becomes a source of contamination for chicks in the hatchery and farms.

Keywords: Chick weight, Chick yield, Dead in shell, Floor Eggs, Hatchability, Water loss

[Full text-<u>PDF</u>] [XML]

Previous issue | Next issue | Archive



ABOUT JOURNAL

Journal of World's Poultry Research



www.jwpr.science-line.com

ISSN: 2322-455X

Frequency: Quarterly

Current Issue: 2017, Vol: 7, Issue: 4 (25 December)

Publisher: SCIENCELINE

The Journal of World's Poultry Research (ISSN: 2322-455X) is an international, peer reviewed open access journal aims to publish the high quality material from poultry scientists' studies to improve domesticated birds production, food quality and safety ... view full aims and scope

» JWPR indexed/covered by <u>NLM Catalog (NLM ID: 101681042)</u>, <u>DOAJ</u>, <u>HINARI</u>, <u>AGRIS</u>, <u>CIARDRING</u>, <u>NAAS</u> (Score: 4.79), <u>Ulrich's™/ ProQuest</u>, <u>PUBDB</u>, <u>ICV 2015= 86,26</u>, <u>TOCs</u>, <u>TIB</u>, <u>BASE</u>, <u>WorldCat</u>, <u>ISC-RICeST</u>, <u>EZB</u>, <u>WZB</u>, <u>Google Scholar</u>...<u>full index information</u>

- » Open access full-text articles is available beginning with Volume 1, Issue 1.
- » Full texts and XML articles are available in <u>ISC-RICeST</u>, <u>DOAJ</u> and <u>AGRIS</u>.

» This journal is in compliance with <u>Budapest Open Access</u> <u>Initiative</u> and <u>International Committee of Medical Journal</u> <u>Editors' Recommendations.</u>



WAME

- » We are member of WAME
- » High visibility of articles over the internet.



Atatürk University, Erzurum 25100, Turkey University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba R3T 2N2, Canada University of Maragheh, East Azerbaijan, Maragheh 55136, Iran Homepage: <u>www.science-line.com</u> Phone: +98 914 420 7713 (Iran); +90 538 770 8824 (Turkey); +1 204 8982464 (Canada) Emails: <u>administrator@science-line.com</u> <u>saeid.azar@atauni.edu.tr</u> **2017, Scienceline Publication** J. World Poult. Res. 7(4): 154-158, Dec 25, 2017

> Research Paper, PII: S2322455X1700019-7 License: CC BY 4.0



Effect of Floor Eggs on Hatchability, Candling, Water Loss, Chick Yield, Chick Weight and Dead in Shell

Adnan Jabbar¹* and Yasir Allah Ditta²

¹Manager Sadiq Poultry (Pvt) Limited, Chakri Hatchery Rawalpindi, Pakistan ²Assistant Professor, Department of Animal Nutrition, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences Lahore, Pakistan *Corresponding author`s Email: sbhatcheryislamabad@gmail.com

> Received: 17 Nov 2017 Accepted: 21 Dec 2017

ABSTRACT

A chicken with high quality resulted from a clean egg, not broken, and not containing cracks. This experiment was performed with the goal to evaluate the effect of contaminated eggs on hatchability, egg water loss, chick weight, chick yield, DIS, A grade and B grade chicks. Eggs (Cobb 300, Ross 308, Hubbard classic n=8616960) from six different farms were collected and divided into two groups. Group A containing good quality eggs and group B contaminated eggs or floor eggs. Each farm participated (n=1436160) eggs for sixteen replicates. Candling was significantly better (P<0.001) in all flocks of group A as compared to B regardless of the age and breed of broiler eggs. The eggs from group B presented significantly less water loss as compared to group A. Chick yield was significantly better for group A than group B. Similarly, Chick weight, quantity of A grade chicks was significantly better for group A than group B. In short floor or contaminated eggs negatively affects the hatchery parameters and becomes a source of contamination for chicks in the hatchery and farms.

Keywords: Chick weight, Chick yield, Dead in shell, Floor Eggs, Hatchability, Water loss

INTRODUCTION

Many scientists have proven that the quality of the breeder's egg influences the livability of embryo and post hatch performance (Yoho et al., 2008). When the shell and membranes are broken they become exposed to bacterial contamination. This contamination may lead to embryonic death at any stage of incubation depending upon severity of infection (Barnett et al., 2004). To get more profit and fulfill the meat requirement, Intensive production of broiler has been practiced regardless the quality and contamination. Floor eggs or dirty eggs from breeder's farm are the main source of contamination. Several bacterial transmission e-g salmonella and mycoplasma may start from ovule, just after ovulation. The egg is wet and warm when laid and prone to microbial transferring into the shell (Hameed et al., 2014). The infection spreads from the egg shell surface to shell membrane through shell pores (Berrang et al., 1999). Some viral infections e-g

corona virus responsible for internal as well as external egg quality deterioration leads to affecting overall egg production and decline hatchability. The quality parameters of a hatchery are badly affected through theses contamination (Gary et al., 2015). The washing of contaminated eggs has no effect on floor eggs. Bacterial contamination leads to decline hatchability and later life performance (van den Brand et al., 2016). The infected egg shell is unable for gaseous exchange as well as water loss during incubation becomes source of infection for other eggs and incubator due to expulsion and quality of chicks deteriorates. The factor water loss directly influences the chick yield that is necessary for quality chicks. Water loss, chick weight and chick yield are closely related and influence the post hatch performance (Jabbar et al., 2017). The infected birds are unable to perform result in poor FCR. The horizontal as well as vertical transmission of bacteria also effects hatchability (Saif et al., 2008). The egg contamination also increases

with the age of breeders. The young and prime age breeders have less contaminated eggs as compared to old age breeders (Jabbar et al., 2017). The aim of this experiment was to investigate the effects of contaminated/floor eggs on egg water loss, chick weight, chick yield, DIS, A grade and B grade chicks.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical approval

This experiment was part of routine field work in a hatchery considering all rules and regulations regarding animal rights and ethic, university of veterinary and animal sciences, Lahore, Pakistan.

Site selection

The experiment was conducted at one of the biggest Poultry hatchery of Asia Sadiq Poultry (Pvt) Rawalpindi Punjab Pakistan. The hatchery is facilitated with latest Heating Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC) automation, having ISO (International standard organization) 1900-2000 certified and producing 6.5-7 million best quality chicks/month through single stage incubation system (Avida G4, Chick Master USA).

Selection of breeds

Sadiq Poultry flock no. 101 cobb 300, 102 ross 308, 103 ross 308, 105 ross 308, Arslan Poultry flock no. 23 hubbard classic, Sarghoda farms ross 308.

Selection of eggs

Good quality eggs free from any kind of contamination were selected and graded on the basis of weight through Moba 9A egg grader (Khan et al., 2016)

Experimental groups

The experimental eggs were divided into two groups on the basis of contamination. Group A contains A grade eggs free from any kind of contamination while group B contain contaminated eggs. Each group contain (n= 8616960) for 16 replicates.

Eggs fumigation

Automatic fumigation system recommended by Chick Master with 20g KMnO₄ and 40ml formalin (40%) and 40 ml of water for 100ft 3areas and 15 minutes.

Incubation programme

Experimental eggs from both groups were pre-heated as recommended by (Jaabar et al., 2017). After pre-heated automatic setter incubation profile as recommended by chick master (USA)

Setter hall and hatcher hall

Environmental conditions in setter hall were at 75 ^oF temperatures and 40% Relative humidity; whereas in the hatcher hall temperature was at75^oF and relative humidity had been increased up to 60%. The positive pressure in setter and hatcher hall was 15 Pascal and 10 Pascal respectively, while negative pressure inside setter and hatcher plenum was -25 Pascal during the course of study.

Egg's weight loss

Eggs weight loss was measured by following formula for both groups individually.

 $- \times 100$

Water Loss (%) = Full tray weight at Setting - Full Tray Weight at Transfer

Full tray weight at Setting - Empty Tray Wight

Candling

Candling was performed automatic transfer table provided by KUHL (USA)

Chick grading

Chick grading and packing was performed on international standard through automatic grading table and chick counter provided by KUHL (USA).

A Grade chicks. Chicks with shining eyes, soft legs and nose, healed naval and healthy minimum weight of 38 grams were graded as A grade chick.

B grade chicks. Underweight less than 30 grams, weak and unhealed naval chicks were removed to mention as B grade.

Chick yield measure

Chick's yield was measure through by using following formula:

Chick Yield % = $\frac{\text{Weight of chicks} \times 100}{\text{Egg weight}}$

Chicks with 69% yield were graded as A grade while more than 69% or less than 67% were graded as B grade (Aviagen. 2).

Dead in shell (DIS) analysis

Dead in shell analysis was performed to investigate the embryonic mortality in both groups. For that unhatched eggs from both groups were broken individually.

Statistical analyses

All data were analyzed by using Statistical Analysis System package software (SAS version 9.2, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). All means were compared using ttest and results were presented as mean \pm SEM (standard error of mean). Results were considered significant if P<0.001.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All parameters from both groups were recorded individually. Candling was significantly better (P<0.001) in all flocks of group A as compared to B regardless of the age and breed of broiler eggs (Table 1). Candling also depends on age of breeders because decline in reproductive performance after 45 weeks has been well documented (Van de Ven, 2012). The farm management e-g mixing of male female, spiking and flock health condition also have impact on candling. The results clearly show that contaminated eggs have significant losses in term of candling.

Water loss is very important for good chick yield. The eggs from group B presented significantly (P<0.001) less water loss as compared to group A regardless the age and breed of broiler eggs (Table 2). For good quality chicks 12% water loss is recommended because less than 6% and more than 14% is difficult for chicks to hatch. For quality chicks water loss should be control in incubator from egg to chick. Water loss also depends upon the humidity levels in the incubators and humidity level in the fresh air coming to incubators. The hatch window is also affected by water loss. Adequate water level in incubators is essential to retain the required water inside eggs necessary to create air cell that helps chicks to come out from eggs in limited time. The air cell allows embryonic lung ventilation after internal piping for a successful hatch (Ar and Rahn, 1980).

Chick yield was significantly (P<0.001) better for group A than for group B (Table 3). The recommended chick yield is 69% for quality chicks. Water loss and chick yield are related to each other. If chick yield excels more than 69 % it becomes a source of dehydration, creates difficulty for chicks to comes out from eggs and hatch window will increase (Aviagen. 2). The chicks yield with more than 69% becomes source of high mortality at farm. Chick yield less than 67%, the water retains in belly of chicks. The chicks become lethargic and refuse to take feed at the farm (Jabbar et al., 2017)

The quantity of A grade chicks increases with good quality eggs as shown in table 4.Chick yield ingroup A was significantly (P<0.005) better as compare to B. The A grade chicks quantity also depends upon health condition of flock, vertically and horizontal transmitted diseases e-g

(ND, IB, H9, EDS, MG, Salmonella etc.), and farm management issues (King'ori, 2011).

The percentage of B grade chicks/poor quality chicks were significantly (P<0.005) higher in B group as compared to A group (Table 5). The B grade chicks quantity were also affected by health condition of flock and vertically and horizontal transmitted diseases e-g (ND, IB, H9, EDS, MG, Salmonella etc.) and farm management issue (King'ori, 2011).

Table 1. Effect of floor eggs on candling percentage atSadiq Hatchery Chakri Rawalpindi, Pakistan (January toMay 2017)

Flock	Age (Weeks)	Group B	Group A
SP 101 cobb	65	13.51±0.28 ^a	7.02 ± 0.22^{b}
SP 102 ross	65	19.42±0.54 ^a	16.87±0.73 ^b
SP 103 ross	60	18.30±0.45 ^a	13.57±0.27 ^b
SP 105 ross	60	$15.613{\pm}1.00^{a}$	$5.09{\pm}0.64^{b}$
AP hubbard	45	$6.84{\pm}0.20^{a}$	$5.25{\pm}0.03^{\text{b}}$
SRA ross	45	$6.84{\pm}0.20^{a}$	$5.25{\pm}0.03^{\text{b}}$
SRB ross	45	$18.81{\pm}0.26^a$	$12.465 {\pm} 0.29^{b}$

^{ab} Different superscripts within each row show significant difference

Table 2. Effect of floor eggs on water loss at SadiqHatchery Chakri Rawalpindi, Pakistan (January to May2017)

Flock	Age (Weeks)	Group B	Group A
SP 101 cobb	65	11.18±0.45 ^a	12.34±0.30 ^b
SP 102 ross	65	10.82 ± 0.01^{a}	11.88 ± 0.01^{b}
SP 103 ross	60	$11.28{\pm}0.25^{a}$	12.14 ± 0.20^{b}
SP 105 ross	60	$10.883\pm0.26^{\rm a}$	12.15±0.69 ^b
AP hubbard	45	10.26 ± 0.15^a	$12.26{\pm}0.15^{\mathrm{b}}$
SRA ross	45	10.84±0.2ª	11.523±0.30 ^b
SRB ross	45	10.06±0.21 ^a	12.495±0.42 ^b
ab D CC	• . • . • •	1 1	11.00

^{ab} Different superscript within each row show significant difference

Table 3. Effect of floor eggs on chick yield at SadiqHatchery Chakri Rawalpindi, Pakistan (January to May2017)

=011)			
Flock	Age (Weeks)	Group B	Group A
SP 101 cobb	65	67.65±0.45 ^a	68.81±0.30 ^b
SP 102 ross	65	68.18 ± 0.01^{a}	69.12±0.01 ^b
SP 103 ross	60	67.64±0.34 ^a	68.972±0.27 ^b
SP 105 ross	60	66.819 ± 0.69^{a}	69.247±0.26 ^b
AP hubbard	45	67.74 ± 0.45^{a}	68.2±0.45 ^b
SRA ross	45	67.16 ± 0.2^{a}	68.478±0.30 ^b
SRB ross	45	68.2±0.20 ^a	69.288±0.40 ^b
ab D: cc	• • • • •	1 1	11.00

^{ab} Different superscript within each row show significant difference

Hatchability is complex thing effect by lot of factors e-g candling, water loss, DIS, chick yield, contaminated eggs, crack eggs, flock health condition, flock age, horizontal and vertical transmitted diseases, farm management and incubator proper temperature and humidity set points (Jabbar et al., 2017). These factors are critical to achieve standard hatchability. The results showed that hatchability also significantly (P<0.001) affected by contaminated eggs (Table 6).

Chick weight is related to water loss and water loss is related to chick yield. The eggs with contamination on egg shell are unable to hold require water inside egg necessary for proper hatch window. The standard water loss 12% will not meet and chicks becomes unable to get require weight as shown in result (Table 7). i-e contaminated eggs have significantly (P<0.001) less weight. Chicks that are comfortable, i.e. in their thermo neutral zone (rectal temperature (40-40.6°C, 104-105°F) lose 1-2 grams of moisture per 24 hours. Chicks that are overheated (rectal temperature over 106°F, 41.1°C) lose 5-10 grams of moisture per 24 hours. This is true in any situation where the chicks have no access to water, whether the chicks are in the hatcher or in transport to the farm (Hill et al., 2011).

Standard water loss and chick yield are necessary for chicks to come out from eggs. Due to the contamination they require water loss and yield can't be achieved and it becomes difficult for chicks to come out from eggs results in increased mortality inside egg or during hatching as shown in table 8. The contamination may become source of embryo mortality at any stage of incubation depending on severity of infection. Most of embryo dies during last week due to malposition, malformation, adhesion and dehydration (Kalita et al., 2013)

Table 4. Effect of floor eggs on percentage of A grade chicks at Sadiq Hatchery Chakri Rawalpindi, Pakistan (January to May 2017)

Age (Weeks)	Group B	Group A
65	73.59±0.16 ^a	87.38±0.29 ^b
65	66.5 ± 0.67^{a}	79.19±0.54 ^b
60	$68.06{\pm}0.30^{a}$	$80.11 {\pm} 0.41^{b}$
60	$68.24{\pm}0.35^a$	$81.21{\pm}0.68^{\text{b}}$
45	$89.59 \pm \! 0.36^a$	90.58 ± 0.73^{b}
45	68.213 ± 0.35^{a}	$81.258{\pm}0.25^{b}$
45	$67.983{\pm}0.25^{a}$	$80.95{\pm}0.40^{b}$
	Age (Weeks) 65 65 60 60 45 45 45 45	Age (Weeks) Group B 65 73.59 ± 0.16^a 65 66.5 ± 0.67^a 60 68.06 ± 0.30^a 60 68.24 ± 0.35^a 45 89.59 ± 0.36^a 45 67.983 ± 0.25^a

^{ab} Different superscript within each row show significant difference

Table 5. Effect of floor eggs on percentage of B gradechicks at Sadiq Hatchery Chakri Rawalpindi, Pakistan(January to May 2017)

Flock	Age (Weeks)	Group B	Group A
SP 101 cobb	65	2.37±0.26a	$1.09{\pm}0.08^{b}$
SP 102 ross	65	$2.07{\pm}0.15^{a}$	$1.03{\pm}0.08^{b}$
SP 103 ross	60	$2.34{\pm}0.08^{a}$	1.0705 ± 0.07^{b}
SP 105 ross	60	$2.21{\pm}0.192^a$	$1.06{\pm}0.04^{b}$
AP hubbard	45	$1.37{\pm}~1.87^{a}$	$0.97{\pm}0.94^{b}$
SRA ross	45	2.325±0.12 ^a	$1.025{\pm}0.05^{b}$
SRB ross	45	2.2±0.040a	1.1 ± 0.040^{b}

^{ab} Different superscript within each show significant difference

Table 6. Effect of floor eggs on hatchability percentage at Sadiq Hatchery Chakri Rawalpindi, Pakistan (January to May 2017)

Flock	Age (Weeks)	Group B	Group A
SP 101 cobb	65	$73.59{\pm}0.16^{a}$	87.38 ± 0.29^{b}
SP 102 ross	65	$66.5{\pm}0.67^{a}$	$79.19{\pm}0.54^{b}$
SP 103 ross	60	$68.06{\pm}0.30^{a}$	80.11 ± 0.41^{b}
SP 105 ross	60	$68.24{\pm}0.35^a$	$81.21{\pm}0.68^{b}$
AP hubbard	45	89.59 ± 0.36^a	$90.58\pm0.73^{\text{b}}$
SRA ross	45	68.213 ± 0.35^{a}	81.258±0.25 ^b
SRB ross	45	67.983±0.25ª	$80.95 {\pm} 0.40^{b}$

^{ab} Different Superscript within each row show significant difference

Table 7. Effect of floor eggs on chick weight at SadiqHatchery Chakri Rawalpindi, Pakistan (January to May2017)

2017)			
Flock	Age (Weeks)	Group B(gr)	Group A (gr)
SP 101 COBB	65	$47.29{\pm}0.40^a$	$48 + 0 \pm 0.40^{b}$
SP 102 ROSS	65	45±0.12 ^a	47 ± 0.12^{b}
SP 103 ROSS	60	$46.5{\pm}0.28^{a}$	$48{\pm}0.01^{\text{b}}$
SP 105 ROSS	60	45±+0.01ª	$47 \pm +0.50^{b}$
AP HUBBARD.C	45	40±0.17 ^a	$42{\pm}0.76^{b}$
SRA ROSS	45	45.5±0.20 ^a	47.25 ± 0.40^{b}
SRB ROSS	45	40.92±0.04 ^a	$41.45{\pm}0.02^{b}$
ab D:cc			4 1:66

^{ab} Different superscript within each row show significant difference

 Table 8. Effect of floor eggs on dead in shell percentage at

 Sadiq Hatchery Chakri Rawalpindi, Pakistan (January to

 May 2017)

1114 2017)						
Flock	Age (Weeks)	Group B	Group A			
SP 101 cobb	65	$10.71{\pm}0.24^{a}$	4.56±0.26 ^b			
SP 102 ross	65	9.71±0.23 ^a	5.56±0.36 ^b			
SP 103 ross	60	$10.71{\pm}0.24^{a}$	4.56±0.26 ^b			
SP 105 ross	60	5.88±0.21a	3.96±0.13 ^b			
AP hubbard	45	4.2±0.214 ^a	3.2±0.31 ^b			
SRA ross	45	$8.95{\pm}0.40^{a}$	6.48 ± 0.40^{b}			
SRB ross	45	$10.66{\pm}0.04^{a}$	$5.31{\pm}0.03^{b}$			
abDifferent super	corint within and	h row chow signific	ant difference			

^bDifferent superscript within each row show significant difference

CONCLUSION

The floor eggs or contaminated eggs must be avoided from hatching. They can be a source of infections in the hatchery and the quality of chicks deteriorates. All hatchery parameters are negatively affected by such kind of eggs.

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to Director of Sadiq Poultry (Pvt) limited Mr. Salman Sadiq for their full support, motivation, fruitful suggestions and encouragement during the whole period of research work. We are also grateful to Engr. Jawad Kiwan Qazi, Engr. Mirza Shahbaz Baig, Mr. Muhammad Akhtar and Hatchery Head Supervisor Mr. Muhammad Ashfaq for their cooperation.

Author's contribution

Both authors have equally contribution in this work.

Competing of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publications of this article.

REFERENCES

- Ar A, Rahn H (1980). Water in the avian egg: Water in the Avian Egg Overall Budget of Incubation Integrative and Comparative Biology, 20(2): 383–384. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/20.2.373
- Barnett DM, Kumpula BL, Petryk R, Robinson L, Renema RA and Robinson FE (2004). Hatchability and Early Chick Growth Potential of Broiler Breeder Eggs with Hairline Cracks. Poultry Science Association, 65-70. Doi: 10.1093/japr/13.1.65
- Berrang ME, Cox NA, Frank JF and Buhr RJ (1999). Bacterial penetration of the eggshell and shell membranes of the chicken hatching egg. Journal of Applied Poultry Research, 8: 499-504. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/japr/8.4.499
- Gary D, Butcher and Miles R (2015). Infectious bronchitis and its Effects on Egg Production Quality IFAS Extension University of Florida. Gainesville, FL 32611 VM68:1-2. http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/vm014
- Hameed U, Akram W, and Anjum MS (2014). Effect of Salmonella on Hatchability and Fertility in Laying

Hen, an Assessment Veterinaria, 2: 20-23. http://thesciencepublishers.com/veterinaria/files/2014 005.pdf

Hill D (2011). The Hatch Window. American Poultry Congress. http://hatchtechgroup.com/media/documenten/thehat

chwindow.pdf

- Jabbar A, Yousaf A and Yasir AD (2017). Effect of Pre-Warming on Broiler Breeder Eggs Hatchability and Post-Hatch Performance Journal of Animal Health and Production 5(1): 1-4. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.14737/journal.jahp/2017/5.1.1.4
- Kalita N, Pathak N, Ahmed M and Saikia GK (2013). Various causes related to dead-in-shell embryos of crossbred (PB-2 x Indigenous) chicken egg. 6(10): 774-777. Doi: 10.14202/vetworld.2013.774-777
- King'ori AM (2011). Review of the factors that influence egg fertility and hatchability in poultry. Indian Journal of Poultry Science, 10:483–492. https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2011.483.492
- Saif YM, Fadly AM, Glisson GR, McDougald LR, Nolan LK and Swayne DE (2008) .Diseases of poultry in, Gast RK (editor), Salmonella infections, Blackwell Publishing professional, 2121 State Avenue, Ames Iowa 50014, USA, 2008; pp 619. https://himakahaunhas.files.wordpress.com/2013/03/ disease-of-poultry.pdf
- Van den Brand H, SosefMP, Lourens Aand van Harn J(2016).Effects of floor eggs on hatchability and later life performance in broiler Chickens. Poultry Science, 95:1025–1032. DOI: 10.3382/ps/pew008 http://dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps/pew008
- Van de Ven LJF (2012). Effects of hatching time and hatching system on broiler chick development. PhD thesis, The Netherlands, Wageningen University
- http://edepot.wur.nl/237333
- Yoho DE, Moyle JR, Swaffar AD and Bramwell RK (2009). Effect of Incubating Poor Quality Broiler Breeder Hatching Eggs on Overall Hatchability and Hatch of Fertile. Avian Advice, 10(4) http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download; Doi: 10.1.1.454.2492

Instructions for Authors

Manuscript as Original Research Paper, Short Communication, Case Reports and Review or Mini-Review are invited for rapid peer-review publishing in *the Journal of World's Poultry Research*. Considered subject areas include: Husbandry and management; construction, environment and welfare; exotic and wild birds; Biochemistry and cellular biology; immunology, avian disease control; layer and quail management; nutrition and feeding; physiology, genetics, reproduction and hatching; technology, processing and food safety... view full aims and scope

JWPR EndNote Style
<u>Manuscript Template (MS</u> <u>Word)</u>
Sample Articles
Declaration form
Policies and Publication Ethics

Submission

The manuscript and other correspondence should preferentially be submit <u>online</u>. Please embed all figures and tables in the manuscript to become one single file for submission. Once submission is complete, the system will generate a manuscript ID and password sent to author's contact emails: <u>editor@jwpr.science-line.com</u> or <u>editorjwpr@gmail.com</u>. All manuscripts must be checked (by English native speaker) and submitted in English for evaluation (in totally confidential and impartial way).

Supplementary information:

The online submission form allows supplementary information to be submitted together with the main manuscript file and covering letter. If you have more than one supplementary files, you can submit the extra ones by email after the initial <u>submission</u>. Author guidelines are specific for each journal. Our Word template can assist you by modifying your page layout, text formatting, headings, title page, image placement, and citations/references such that they agree with the guidelines of journal. If you believe your article is fully edited per journal style, please use our <u>MS Word template</u> before submission.

Supplementary materials may include figures, tables, methods, videos, and other materials. They are available online linked to the original published article. Supplementary tables and figures should be labeled with a "S", e.g. "Table S1" and "Figure S1". The maximum file size for supplementary materials is 10MB each. Please keet the files as small possible to avoid the frustrations experienced by readers with downloading large files.

Submission to the Journal is on the understanding that:

1. The article has not been previously published in any other form and is not under consideration for publication elsewhere; 2. All authors have approved the submission and have obtained permission for publish work.

3.Researchers have proper regard for conservation and animal welfare considerations. Attention is drawn to the <u>'Guidelines for the</u> <u>Treatment of Animals in Research and Teaching</u>'. Any possible adverse consequences of the work for populations or individual organisms must be weighed against the possible gains in knowledge and its practical applications. If the approval of an ethics committee is required, please provide the name of the committee and the approval number obtained.

Ethics Committee Approval

Experimental research involving animals should have been approved by author's institutional review board or ethics committee. This information can be mentioned in the manuscript including the name of the board/committee that gave the approval. The use of animals in experiments will have observed the Interdisciplinary Principles and Guidelines for the Use of Animals in Research, Testing, and Education by the New York Academy of Sciences, Ad Hoc Animal Research Committee.

Graphical Abstract:

Authors should provide a graphical abstract (a beautifully designed feature figure) to represent the paper aiming to catch the attention and interest of readers. Graphical abstract will be published online in the table of content. The graphical abstract should be colored, and kept within an area of 12 cm (width) x 6 cm (height) or with similar format. Image should have a minimum resolution of 300 dpi and line art 1200dpi.

Note: Height of the image should be no more than the width. Please avoid putting too much information into the graphical abstract as it occupies only a small space.

Authors can provide the graphical abstract in the format of PDF, Word, PowerPoint, jpg, or png, after a manuscript is accepted for publication. See more sample graphical abstracts in <u>archive</u>.



Presentation of the article

Main Format:

First page of the manuscripts must be properly identified by the title and the name(s) of the author(s). It should be typed in Times New Roman (font sizes: 17pt in capitalization for the title, 10pt for the section headings in the body of the text and the main text, double spaced, in A4 format with 2cm margins. All pages and lines of the main text should be numbered consecutively throughout the manuscript. The manuscript must be saved in a .doc format, (not .docx files). Abbreviations in the article title are not allowed.

Manuscripts should be arranged in the following order:

- 1. TITLE (brief, attractive and targeted);
- 2. Name(s) and Affiliation(s) of author(s) (including post code) and corresponding E-mail;
- 3. ABSTRACT;
- 4. Key words (separate by semicolons; or comma,);
- 5. Abbreviations (used in the manuscript);
- 6. INTRODUCTION;
- 7. MATERIALS AND METHODS;
- 8. RESULTS;
- 9. DISCUSSION;
- 10. CONCLUSION;
- 11. Acknowledgements (if there are any);
- 12. Declarations
- 13. REFERENCES;
- 14. Tables;
- 15. Figure captions;
- 16. Figures;

Results and Discussion can be presented jointly. Discussion and Conclusion can be presented jointly.

Article Sections Format:

Title should be a brief phrase describing the contents of the paper. The first letter of each word in title should use upper case. The Title Page should include the author(s)'s full names and affiliations, the name of the corresponding author along with phone and e-mail information. Present address (es) of author(s) should appear as a footnote.

Abstract should be informative and completely self-explanatory, briefly present the topic, state the scope of the experiments, indicate significant data, and point out major findings and conclusions. The abstract should be 150 to 300 words in length. Complete sentences, active verbs, and the third person should be used, and the abstract should be written in the past tense. Standard nomenclature should be used and abbreviations should be avoided. No literature should be cited. Following the abstract, about 3 to 8 key words that will provide indexing references should be listed.

Introduction should provide a clear statement of the problem, the relevant literature on the subject, and the proposed approach or solution. It should be understandable to colleagues from a broad range of scientific disciplines.

Materials and Methods should be complete enough to allow experiments to be reproduced. However, only truly new procedures should be described in detail; previously published procedures should be cited, and important modifications of published procedures should be mentioned briefly. Capitalize trade names and include the manufacturer's name and address. Subheadings should be used. Methods in general use need not be described in detail. The ethical approval for using animals in the researches should be indicated in this section with a separated title.

Results should be presented with clarity and precision. The results should be written in the past tense when describing findings in the author(s)'s experiments. Previously published findings should be written in the present tense. Results should be explained, but largely without referring to the literature. Discussion, speculation and detailed interpretation of data should not be included in the results but should be put into the discussion section.

Discussion should interpret the findings in view of the results obtained in this and in past studies on this topic. State the conclusions in a few sentences at the end of the paper. The Results and Discussion sections can include subheadings, and when appropriate, both sections can be combined.

Conclusion can be presented jointly.

Declarations including Ethics, Consent to publish, Competing interests, Authors' contributions, and Availability of data and materials are necessary.

Acknowledgments of persons, grants, funds, etc. should be brief.

Tables should be kept to a minimum and be designed to be as simple as possible. Tables are to be typed double-spaced throughout, including headings and footnotes. Each table should be on a separate page, numbered consecutively in Arabic numerals and supplied with a heading and a legend. Tables should be self-explanatory without reference to the text. The details of the methods used in the experiments should preferably be described in the legend instead of in the text. The same data should not be presented in both table and graph forms or repeated in the text.

Figure legends should be typed in numerical order on a separate sheet. Graphics should be prepared using applications capable of generating high resolution GIF, TIFF, JPEG or PowerPoint before pasting in the Microsoft Word manuscript file. Use Arabic numerals to designate figures and upper case letters for their parts (Figure 1). Begin each legend with a title and include sufficient description so that the figure is understandable without reading the text of the manuscript. Information given in legends should not be repeated in the text.

Declarations section - Please include declarations heading

Please ensure that the sections: -Ethics (and consent to participate) -Consent to publish -Competing interests -Authors' contributions -Availability of data and materials are included at the end of your manuscript in a Declarations section.

Consent to Publish

Please include a 'Consent for publication' section in your manuscript. If your manuscript contains any individual person's data in any form (including individual details, images or videos), consent to publish must be obtained from that person, or in the case of children, their parent or legal guardian. All presentations of case reports must have consent to publish. You can use your institutional consent form or our consent form if you prefer. You should not send the form to us on submission, but we may request to see a copy at any stage (including after publication). If your manuscript does not contain any individual persons data, please state "Not applicable" in this section.

Authors' Contributions

For manuscripts with more than one author, JWPR require an Authors' Contributions section to be placed after the Competing Interests section.

An 'author' is generally considered to be someone who has made substantive intellectual contributions to a published study. To qualify as an author one should 1) have made substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; 2) have been involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and 3) have given final approval of the version to be published. Each author should have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for appropriate portions of the content. Acquisition of funding, collection of data, or general supervision not authorship. of the research group, alone, does justify We suggest the following format (please use initials to refer to each author's contribution): AB carried out the molecular genetic studies, participated in the sequence alignment and drafted the manuscript. JY carried out the immunoassays. MT participated in the sequence alignment. ES participated in the design of the study and performed the statistical analysis. FG conceived of the study, and participated in its design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

For authors that equally participated in a study please write 'All/Both authors contributed equally to this work.' Contributors who do not meet the criteria for authorship should be listed in an acknowledgements section.

Competing Interests

Competing interests that might interfere with the objective presentation of the research findings contained in the manuscript should be declared in a paragraph heading "Competing interests" (after Acknowledgment section and before References). Examples of competing interests are ownership of stock in a company, commercial grants, board membership, etc. If there is no competing interest, please use the statement "The authors declare that they have no competing interests."

Journal World'^s Poultry Research adheres to the definition of authorship set up by The International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE). According to the ICMJE authorship criteria should be based on 1) substantial contributions to conception and design of, or acquisition of data or analysis and interpretation of data, 2) drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content and 3) final approval of the version to be published. Authors should meet conditions 1, 2 and 3. It is a requirement that all authors have been accredited as appropriate upon submission of the manuscript. Contributors who do not qualify as authors should be mentioned under Acknowledgements.

Change in authorship

We do not allow any change in authorship after provisional acceptance. We cannot allow any addition, deletion or change in sequence of author name. We have this policy to prevent the fraud.

Acknowledgements

We strongly encourage you to include an Acknowledgements section between the Authors' contributions section and Reference list. Please acknowledge anyone who contributed towards the study by making substantial contributions to conception, design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data, or who was involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content, but who does not meet the criteria for authorship. Please also include their source(s) of funding. Please acknowledge anyone who contributed materials essential also for the studv. Authors should obtain permission to acknowledge from all those mentioned in the Acknowledgements. Please list the source(s) of funding for the study, for each author, and for the manuscript preparation in the acknowledgements section. Authors must describe the role of the funding body, if any, in study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Data Deposition

Nucleic acid sequences, protein sequences, and atomic coordinates should be deposited in an appropriate database in time for the accession number to be included in the published article. In computational studies where the sequence information is unacceptable for inclusion in databases because of lack of experimental validation, the sequences must be published as an additional file with the article.

References:

A JWPR reference style for **<u>EndNote</u>** may be found <u>here</u>.

- 1. All references to publications made in the text should be presented in a list with their full bibliographical description. DOI number or the link of article should be added to the end of the each reference.
- 2. In the text, a reference identified by means of an author's name should be followed by the date of the reference in parentheses. When there are more than two authors, only the first author's surname should be mentioned, followed by 'et al'. In the event that an author cited has had two or more works published during the same year, the reference, both in the text and in the reference list, should be identified by a lower case letter like 'a' and 'b' after the date to distinguish the works.
- 3. References in the text should be arranged chronologically (e.g. Kelebeni, 1983; Usman and Smith, 1992 and Agindotan et al., 2003). The list of references should be arranged alphabetically on author's surnames, and chronologically per author. If an author's name in the list is also mentioned with co-authors, the following order should be used: Publications of the single author, arranged according to publication dates publications of the same author with one co-author publications of the author with more than one co-author. Publications by the same author(s) in the same year should be listed as 1992a, l992b, etc.
- 4. Names of authors and title of journals, published in non-latin alphabets should be transliterated in English.
- 5. A sample of standard reference is "1th Author surname A, 2th Author surname B and 3th Author surname C (2013). Article title should be regular and 9 pt. Journal of World's Poultry Research, Volume No. (Issue No.): 00-00." DOI:XXX."
- Journal titles should be full in references. The titles should not be italic.
- 7. References with more than 10 authors should list the first 10 authors followed by 'et al.'
- 8. The color of references in the text of article is blue. Example: (Preziosi et al., 2002; Mills et al., 2015).

-Examples (at the text):

Abayomi (2000), Agindotan et al. (2003), Vahdatpour and Babazadeh (2016), (Kelebeni, 1983), (Usman and Smith, 1992), (Chege, 1998; Chukwura, 1987a,b; Tijani, 1993, 1995), (Kumasi et al., 2001).

--Examples (at References section):

a) For journal:

Lucy MC (2000). Regulation of ovarian follicular growth by somatotropin and insulin- like growth factors in cattle. Journal of Dairy Science, 83: 1635-1647.

Kareem SK (2001). Response of albino rats to dietary level of mango cake. Journal of Agricultural Research and Development. pp 31-38. DOI:XXX.

Chikere CB, Omoni VT and Chikere BO (2008). Distribution of potential nosocomial pathogens in a hospital environment. African Journal of Biotechnology, 7: 3535-3539. DOI:XXX.

Tahir Khan M, Bhutto ZA, Abbas Raza SH, Saeed M, Arain MA, Arif M, Fazlani SA, Ishfaq M, Siyal FA, Jalili M et al. (2016). Supplementation of different level of deep stacked broiler litter as a source of total mixed ration on digestibility in sheep and their effects on growth performance. Journal of World's Poultry Research, 6(2): 73-83. DOI: XXX

b) For symposia reports and abstracts:

Cruz EM, Almatar S, Aludul EK and Al-Yaqout A (2000). Preliminary Studies on the Performance and Feeding Behaviour of Silver Pomfret (Pampus argentens euphrasen) Fingerlings fed with Commercial Feed and Reared in Fibreglass Tanks. Asian Fisheries Society Manila, Philippine 13: 191-199.

c) For edited symposia, special issues, etc., published in a journal:

Korevaar H (1992). The nitrogen balance on intensive Dutch dairy farms: a review. In: A. A. Jongebreur et al. (Editors), Effects of Cattle and Pig Production Systems on the Environment: Livestock Production Science, 31: 17-27.

d) For books:

AOAC (1990). Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official Methods of Analysis, 15th Edition. Washington D.C. pp. 69-88. Pelczar JR, Harley JP, Klein DA (1993). Microbiology: Concepts and Applications. McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, pp. 591-603.

e) Books, containing sections written by different authors:

Kunev M (1979). Pig Fattening. In: A. Alexiev (Editor), Farm Animal Feeding. Vol. III. Feeding of Different Animal Species, Zemizdat, Sofia, p. 233-243 (Bg). In referring to a personal communication the two words are followed by the year, e.g. (Brown, J. M., personal communication, 1982). In this case initials are given in the text.

Nomenclature and Abbreviations:

- Nomenclature should follow that given in NCBI web page and Chemical Abstracts. Standard abbreviations are preferable. If a new abbreviation is used, it should be defined at its first usage. Abbreviations should be presented in one paragraph, in the format: "term: definition". Please separate the items by ";".
- E.g. ANN: artificial neural network; CFS: closed form solution; ...

Abbreviations of units should conform with those shown below:	Abbreviations	of units	should	conform	with t	hose	shown	below:
---	---------------	----------	--------	---------	--------	------	-------	--------

Decilitre	dl	Kilogram	kg
Milligram	mg	hours	h
Micrometer	mm	Minutes	min
Molar	mol/L	Mililitre	ml
Percent	%		

Other abbreviations and symbols should follow the recommendations on units, symbols and abbreviations: in "A guide for Biological and Medical Editors and Authors (the Royal Society of Medicine London 1977).

Papers that have not been published should be cited as "unpublished". Papers that have been accepted for publication, but not yet specified for an issue should be cited as "to be published". Papers that have been submitted for publication should be cited as "submitted for publication".

Formulae, numbers and symbols:

- 1. Typewritten formulae are preferred. Subscripts and superscripts are important. Check disparities between zero (0) and the letter 0, and between one (1) and the letter I.
- 2. Describe all symbols immediately after the equation in which they are first used.
- 3. For simple fractions, use the solidus (/), e.g. 10 /38.
- 4. Equations should be presented into parentheses on the right-hand side, in tandem.
- 5. Levels of statistical significance which can be used without further explanations are *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001
- 6. In the English articles, a decimal point should be used instead of a decimal comma.
- 7. In chemical formulae, valence of ions should be given, e.g. Ca2+ and CO32-, not as Ca++ or CO3.
- 8. Numbers up to 10 should be written in the text by words. Numbers above 1000 are recommended to be given as 10 powered x.
- 9. Greek letters should be explained in the margins with their names as follows: Aa alpha, B β beta, $\Gamma\gamma$ gamma, $\Delta\delta$ delta, E ϵ epsilon, Z ζ zeta, H η eta, $\Theta\theta$ theta, II iota, K κ kappa, $\Lambda\lambda$ lambda, M μ mu, Nv nu, $\Xi\xi$ xi, Oo omicron, $\Pi\pi$ pi, Pp rho, $\Sigma\sigma$ sigma, T τ tau, Yu ipsilon, $\Phi\phi$ phi, X χ chi, $\Psi\psi$ psi, $\Omega\omega$ omega.

Review/Decisions/Processing

Firstly, all manuscripts will be checked by <u>Docol©c</u>, a plagiarism finding tool. A single blind reviewing model is used by JWPR for non-plagiarized papers. The manuscript is edited and reviewed by the English language editor and three reviewers selected by section editor of JWPR respectively. Also, a reviewer result form is filled by reviewer to guide authors. Possible decisions are: accept as is, minor revision, major revision, or reject. See sample of <u>evaluation form</u>. Authors should submit back their revisions within 14 days in the case of minor revision, or 30 days in the case of major <u>revision</u>.

To submit a revision please sign in here, fill out the form, and mark Revised, attach the revision (MS word) and continue submission. After review and editing the article, a final formatted proof is sent to the corresponding author once again to apply all suggested corrections during the article process. The editor who received the final revisions from the corresponding authors shall not be hold responsible for any mistakes shown in the final publication. Manuscripts with significant results are typically reviewed and published at the highest priority.

Plagiarism: There is a zero-tolerance policy towards plagiarism (including self-plagiarism) in our journals. Manuscripts are screened for plagiarism by <u>Docol©c</u> a plagiarism finding tool, before or during publication, and if found they will be rejected at any stage of processing. See sample of <u>Docol©c-Report</u>.

Declaration

After manuscript accepted for publication, a <u>declaration form</u> will be sent to the corresponding author who that is responsible to coauthors' agreements to publication of submitted work in JWPR after any amendments arising from the peer review.

Date of issue

The journal will be issued on 25th of March, June, September and December, each year.

Publication charges

No peer-reviewing charges are required. However, there is a \$95 editor fee for the processing of each primary accepted paper. Payment can be made by credit card, bank transfer, money order or check. Instruction for payment is sent during publication process as soon as manuscript is accepted.

The submission fee will be waived for invited authors, authors of hot papers, and corresponding authors who are editorial board members of the *Journal of World's Poultry Research* (JWPR). The Journal will consider requests to waive the fee for cases of financial hardship (for high quality manuscripts and upon acceptance for publication). Requests for waiver of the submission fee must be submitted via individual cover letter by the corresponding author and cosigned by an appropriate institutional official to verify that no institutional or grant funds are available for the payment of the fee. Letters including the manuscript title and manuscript ID number should be sent to: editor [at] jwpr.science-line.com or editorjwpr [at] gmail.com. It is expected that waiver requests will be processed and authors will be notified within one business day.

The Waiver policy

The submission fee will be waived for invited authors, authors of hot papers, and corresponding authors who are editorial board members of the *Journal of World'^s Poultry Research*. The Journal will consider requests to waive the fee for cases of financial hardship (for high quality manuscripts and upon acceptance for publication). Requests for waiver of the submission fee must be submitted via individual cover letter by the corresponding author and cosigned by an appropriate institutional official to verify that no institutional or grant funds are available for the payment of the fee. Letters including the manuscript title and manuscript ID number should be sent to: editor [at] jwpr.science-line.com. It is expected that waiver requests will be processed and authors will be notified within two business day.

The OA policy

Journal of World'^s Poultry Research is an open access journal which means that all content is freely available without charge to the user or his/her institution. Users are allowed to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of the articles, or use them for any other lawful purpose, without asking prior permission from the publisher or the author. This is in accordance with the <u>BOAI definition of Open Access</u>.

Submission Preparation Checklist

Authors are required to check off their submission's compliance with all of the following items, and submissions may be returned to authors that do not adhere to the following guidelines.

The submission has not been previously published, nor is it before another journal for consideration (or an explanation has been provided in Comments to the Editor).

The submission file is in Microsoft Word, RTF, or PDF document file format.

Where available, URLs for the references have been provided.

The text is single-spaced; uses a 12-point font; and all illustrations, figures, and tables are placed within the text at the appropriate points, rather than at the end.

The text adheres to the stylistic and bibliographic requirements outlined in the Author Guidelines.





Editorial Offices: Atatürk University, Erzurum 25100, Turkey University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba R3T 2N2, Canada University of Maragheh, East Azerbaijan, Maragheh 55136, Iran Homepage: www.science-line.com Tel: Phone: +98 914 420 7713 (Iran); +90 538 770 8824 (Turkey); +1 204 8982464 (Canada) Emails: administrator@science-line.com saeid.azar@atauni.edu.tr

Т

CONTACT US

PRIVACY POLICY

ABOUT US

Scienceline Publishing Corporation

Scienceline Publication, Ltd is a limited liability non-profit non-stock corporation incorporated in Turkey, and also is registered in Iran. Scienceline journals that concurrently belong to many societies, universities and research institutes, publishes internationally peer-reviewed open access articles and believe in sharing of new scientific knowledge and vital research in the fields of life and natural sciences, animal sciences, engineering, art, linguistic, management, social and economic sciences all over the world. Scienceline journals include:

Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research ISSN 2228-7701



ISSN 2228-7701; Bi-monthly View Journal | Editorial Board Email: editors@ojafr.ir Submit Online >>

Journal of World's Poultry Research



Journal of World's Poultry Research

ISSN: 2322-455X; Quarterly View Journal I Editorial Board Email: editor@jwpr.science-line.com Submit Online >>

Journal of Art and Architecture Studies



inin Alle ISSN: 2383-1553; Irregular View Journal I Editorial Board Email: jaas@science-line.com Submit Online >>

Journal of Civil Engineering and



ISSN 2252-0430; Bi-monthly View Journal | Editorial Board Email: ojceu@ojceu.ir Submit Online >>

World's Veterinary Journal

Biomedicine

Journal of Life Sciences and



ISSN: 2251-9939; Bi-monthly View Journal | Editorial Board Email: editors@jlsb.science-line.com Submit Online >>

Journal of Educational and

Management Studies

Asian Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Researches



Asian Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Researches ISSN: 2322-4789; Quarterly View Journal | Editorial Board Email: editor@ajmpr.science-line.com Submit Online >>

Journal of World's Electrical Engineering and Technology



ISSN: 2322-5114; Irregular View Journal | Editorial Board Email: editor@jweet.science-line.com Submit Online >>



Journal of Applied Business and Finance Researches



ISSN: 2382-9907; Quarterly View Journal | Editorial Board Email: jabfr@science-line.com Submit Online >>

Scientific Journal of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering



ISSN: 2383-0980; Quarterly View Journal I Editorial Board Email: sjmie@science-line.com Submit Online >>

Copyright © 2017. All Rights Reserved. Scienceline Journals Email: administrator@science-line.com

World's Veterinary Journal

Economic Sciences

ISSN: 2383-0948; Quarterly

Submit Online >>

ISSN: 2322-4568; Quarterly View Journal | Editorial Board Email: editor@wvj.science-line.com Submit Online >>



