



The Impact of Fermented Local Feed on Turkey Production Performance in the Grower Period

Bambang Sulistiyanto^{1*} , Cahya Setya Utama¹ , Sri Sumarsih¹ , and Nadya Marcelina Cinderawati² 

¹Faculty of Animal and Agricultural Science, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia

²Graduate Student of Animal Husbandry, Faculty of Animal and Agricultural Science, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia.

*Corresponding author's E-mail: bsael07@gmail.com

Received: December 13, 2025, Revised: January 17, 2026, Accepted: February 19, 2026, Published: March 05, 2026



ABSTRACT

The rising demand for turkey meat in Indonesia is driving an expansion in turkey farming businesses. However, many farmers ignore the nutritional requirements of their poultry and frequently incorporate unprocessed water hyacinth into their feed, which provides low nutritional value. The present study aimed to improve the nutritional value of feed through fermentation to enhance feed quality on smallholder farms. The present study involved 180 heads of eight-week-old turkeys divided into three groups, including basic feed as a control, local feed, and fermented feed. Body weight, daily body weight gain, feed conversion, and mortality rates were measured during the study. The current results indicated that turkeys fed fermented water hyacinth had improved growth performance, as evidenced by increased body weight and daily weight gain and reduced mortality. The turkeys fed local feed did not indicate improvements in growth performance due to the use of poor-quality local feed. The fermentation method could improve the quality of feed ingredients, thereby enhancing turkey growth performance. Fermented feed given to turkeys could improve production performance by increasing body weight and daily weight gain, also decreasing feed conversion, and mortality rates during the grower period.

Keywords: Fermented feed, Fermentation, Local feed, Performance, Turkey

INTRODUCTION

Small-scale poultry farming serves as a source of income for farmers by selling protein-rich products (Selaledi et al., 2021). Farmers have started raising turkeys because of their popular meat and sustained demand (Yasmin et al., 2021). Turkeys are valued for their lean, high-protein meat, high market price, and ability to utilize forage (Utama et al., 2025). However, turkey feeding management is still carried out solely at the smallholder farm scale, without prioritizing the quality and effectiveness of feed nutrition (Dotché et al., 2024). The traditional maintenance process leads to nutrient deficiency, resulting in suboptimal growth (Moustapha et al., 2022).

Small-scale poultry farmers in Indonesia often use locally available feed components in their feed formulations, including water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*), rice bran, and tofu dregs. The mentioned ingredients are popular because they are accessible,

affordable, and available throughout the year (Pangeran et al., 2021). However, when used, these ingredients, without processing, the nutritional potential of these feed ingredients remains suboptimal (van der Poel et al., 2020). Improving the skills of small farmers in Kudus, Central Java, Indonesia, particularly in feed processing, requires targeted strategies to transition from no-input scavenging to poultry keeping, and profit-driven egg and meat production (Kim et al., 2016). Strengthening these skills has been shown to notably improve production efficiency and increase farmers' income (Njoku and Chibundu, 2022; Birhanu et al., 2023). The nutritional requirements of turkeys, which consist of high-protein, low-fiber feed, can be met by using feed processing methods that improve nutrient quality, increase digestibility, enhance feed functionality, and adapt the feed to the physiological needs of livestock. Turkey can consume up to 9% fiber at 26-48 days of age (grower phase; Dorigam et al., 2016; Utama et al., 2025). Transforming raw ingredients into more digestible, nutrient-enriched forms ensures that turkeys

receive the essential nutrients required for optimal growth and overall health. Locally available feed ingredients such as water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*; Dumaup and Ampode, 2020; Rajan *et al.*, 2023), rice bran (Yamin *et al.*, 2020; Attia *et al.*, 2023), and tofu dregs (Anggraeni *et al.*, 2013; Nguyen *et al.*, 2020) exhibited promising nutritional potential as alternative feed resources, although their utilization remains limited by challenges in processing and nutrient bioavailability. One method to increase utility is through fermentation (Shamim *et al.*, 2017; Johnson *et al.*, 2024). Fermentation is a bioconversion process in which microorganisms, particularly lactic acid bacteria (LAB), transform substrates into more nutritionally valuable compounds. Lactic acid bacteria play crucial roles in enhancing the nutritional profile of feed ingredients and generating several bioactive compounds, including enzymes, vitamins, linoleic acid, exopolysaccharides, and gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), all of which possess functional and nutraceutical properties (Kondrotiene *et al.*, 2023). The inoculum of LAB in feed has also been shown to effectively reduce crude fiber content, thereby improving feed digestibility (Wu *et al.*, 2025). One innovative approach in feed fermentation technology involves using a vegetable fermented extract (VFE) as a microbial starter. The VFE-2019, as a non-commercial functional starter from research results, has been shown to contain lactic and allicin bacteria, has been proven to improve the quality and utility of the ingredients, and the fermented product contains LABs up to 10^8 CFU/g (Sulistiyanto *et al.*, 2019; Sulistiyanto and Utama, 2020). The present study aimed to examine the effects of fermented local ingredients, such as water hyacinth, rice bran, and tofu dregs, on improving the quality and utility of local feed during the grower period in turkeys on small-scale farms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical approval

All procedures involving animals were approved by the Faculty of Animal and Agricultural Science, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia, under approval number 62-01/A-01/KEP-FPP.

Ingredients

Dietary ingredients included pollard, distiller's dried grains with solubles (DDGS), broiler-1 (BR 1), corn gluten feed (CGF), soybean meal, rice bran, molasses, mineral mix from local market, cassava pulp and tofu dregs from tapioca flour local industry in Kudus, water

hyacinth, from Kudus, Indonesia, and VFE-2019 starter, prepared in accordance with the method of Sulistiyanto *et al.* (2019). Equipment used included cages to keep turkeys, feed mats, drinking water tanks, barrels as fermentation silos, and digital scales to weigh turkeys and feed.

Study design and animals

The current study involved 180 unsexed local turkeys, aged eight weeks and weighing $1600 \text{ g} \pm 140 \text{ g}$, employing a completely randomized design with three treatments and five replicates, with each replicate consisting of 12 turkeys. Turkeys were kept in communal cages with a capacity of three turkeys per square meter. The cages maintained a temperature range of 23°C to 30°C and a humidity level of 80% to 90% (Nangoy *et al.*, 2022). To maintain cleanliness and prevent disease transmission, litter was changed twice a week. Any turkeys that appeared unhealthy were separated from the group and placed into a quarantine cage. The turkeys were kept for two weeks for adaptation and then fed for four weeks. Feed and drinking water were given *ad libitum*. Feed was given three times a day, and the remaining feed was measured before the first feeding on the next day. The total duration of the research, from preparation to maintenance, is 6 weeks.

Experimental treatments

The treatments consisted of basic feed, local feed, and fermented feed. The composition of the local feed was adopted from traditional turkey farms in Kudus Regency, Indonesia. The basic feed was formulated for 8-16 week-old turkeys in the grower period based on minimum requirements, using non-fermented ingredients. In contrast, the fermented feed was formulated from the basic feed using fermented ingredients (Utama *et al.*, 2025). The composition of the treatment feed is presented in Table 1.

The fermentation process was based on the study of Mulyasari *et al.* (2022), which was conducted by adding 6% VFE-2019 starter (W/W) to a mixture of water hyacinth (WH), tofu pulp (TP), and rice bran (RB; Ratio of WH: TP: RB = 20:50:30). Water hyacinth was cut into small pieces measuring approximately 1 cm and mixed evenly with the starter. Meanwhile, the tofu pulp was mixed evenly with the rice bran. The two mixtures were then combined and stored in a silo for five days under anaerobic fermentation conditions. The final fermented feed had an acidic pH and contained probiotics, specifically lactic acid bacteria, with a population of 5.44×10^8 CFU/g.

Table 1. Composition of local, basic, and fermented diets for unsexed 8-16 weeks grower-phase turkeys

Composition of diet	Basic feed (%)	Local feed (%)	Fermented feed (%)
Corn	-	10	-
Rice bran	-	20	8
Tofu dregs	-	20	-
Pollard	20	-	-
Cassava pulp	3	-	1.2
Water hyacinth	-	40	-
Mineral mixed	2	5	0,8
Soybean meal	10	-	4
Broiler-1	20	5	8
Distiller's dried grains with soluble	25	-	10
Corn gluten feed	20	-	8
Basic diet	-	-	-
Fermented local feed	-	-	60
Total	100	100	100

Chemical analysis	Basic feed	Local feed	Fermented feed
Crude protein (%)	22.98	17.79	21.72
Fat (%)	3.9	2.82	3.19
Crude fiber (%)	3.8	5.37	13.12
Metabolizable energy (Kcal/kg)	2871	2593	2351.8
Ash (%)	5.25	1.54	4.3
Moisture content (%)	6.52	11.47	10.42
Calcium (%)	1.05	3.25	0.42
Phosphor (%)	1.2	2.02	0.48

The composition of the mineral mixed (produced by PT. Medion from the Indonesian factory) is calcium, phosphorus, iron, manganese, iodine, copper, and zinc. The diet was balanced according to the study by [Sunarti et al. \(2016\)](#).

Data collection

Body weight was measured every two weeks starting from the beginning of the study until the end of the treatment (10, 12, and 14 weeks of age; [Utama et al., 2025](#)). Body weight, daily weight gain, feed conversion, and mortality rates were observed during the study. The mortality rate was calculated by dividing the number of turkeys that died during the rearing period, then multiplying by 100%. The average daily weight gain (ADG) was calculated according to the following formula ([van der Sluis et al., 2022](#)).

$$ADG = \frac{(body\ weight_{end} - body\ weight_{start})}{(age\ in\ days_{end} - age\ in\ days_{start})}$$

Statistical analysis

Current data was analyzed using SPSS, version 23.0. After testing for normality and homogeneity of variance, the effect of treatment was assessed via ANOVA ([Steel and Torrie, 1980](#)). Where ANOVA indicated a significant difference ($p \leq 0.05$), means were compared using Duncan's multiple range test.

RESULTS

The present results indicated that body weight in turkeys was significantly affected by the diet ($p \leq 0.05$). The turkeys fed fermented feed (3345.2 ± 260.9 g) had the highest body weight compared to turkeys fed non-fermented basic feed (3058.8 ± 147.4 g) and local feed (2535.2 ± 157.6 g; $p \leq 0.05$; Table 2). The body weight in turkeys fed local feed was significantly lower than the body weight in turkeys fed fermented ingredients ($p \leq 0.05$). The fermented ingredients indicated that fermentation with VFE-2019 starters can enhance feed quality and utility.

The current results indicated that ADG increased in turkeys fed fermented ingredients ($p \leq 0.05$) compared to those fed basal feed and local feed. The turkeys fed fermented ingredients had the highest ADG (40.4 ± 7.9 g) compared to those fed basic feed (34.5 ± 3.1 g) or local feed (21.4 ± 4.4 g; Table 2). The growth rate of turkeys fed the local diet was significantly lower than that of turkeys fed the basic and fermented diet ($p \leq 0.05$). The presented result indicated that fermented feed was

completely utilized by livestock and increased their weight.

Feed conversion indicates how efficiently feed nutrients are converted into livestock products, expressed as a final weight. The current results indicated that feed conversion was significantly affected by differences in the rations given ($p \leq 0.05$). Among the treatments, the best feed conversion rate was observed in turkeys fed basic feed ($1.22 \pm 0.44\%$) and fermented feed ($1.29 \pm 0.11\%$), compared to those fed local feed ($2.14 \pm 0.45\%$; $p \leq 0.05$;

Table 2). The low feed conversion rate indicated that the animals fully utilized the feed nutrients.

Fermented feed significantly affected turkeys' mortality rates compared to the basic feed and local feed ($p \leq 0.05$). Turkeys fed fermented feed exhibited the lowest mortality rate (1.67%), which was significantly lower ($p \leq 0.05$) than those fed basic (3.33%) or local feed (8.33%). The study indicated that deaths happen at the beginning of the rearing period. These deaths may have been caused by low adaptability to the environment and the feed.

Table 2. Production performance of unsexed 8-16 weeks grower-phase fed basic, local, and fermented diets

Treatment	Body weight (g)	Average daily gain (g)	Feed consumption (g)	Feed conversion (%)	Mortality rates (%)
Basic feed	3058.8 \pm 147.4 ^b	34.5 \pm 3.1 ^b	218.87 \pm 34.53	1.22 \pm 0.44 ^b	3.33 %
Local feed	2535.2 \pm 157.6 ^c	21.4 \pm 4.4 ^c	335.03 \pm 71.21	2.14 \pm 0.45 ^a	8.33 %
Fermented feed	3345.2 \pm 260.9 ^a	40.4 \pm 7.9 ^a	245.73 \pm 80.31	1.29 \pm 0.11 ^b	1.67 %

^{a, b, and c} Different superscript letters in the same column indicate significant differences among the treatments ($p \leq 0.05$).

DISCUSSION

The body weight of turkeys fed with basal and fermented ingredients aligned with local turkey growth standards, whereas those fed the local diet fell below the weight range of 2500-3500 g set by turkey growers (Sunarti *et al.*, 2016). Turkeys fed the local diet exhibited lower production performance due to low-quality ingredients and an imbalanced energy-to-protein ratio, which failed to meet physiological requirements. In the grower period, turkeys require protein and energy in the range of 21-23% and 3000-3500 kcal/kg, respectively. Besides the balance of nutrients, the local feed contains highly fiber ingredients (Shamim *et al.*, 2017). Although turkeys can digest high-fiber rations, providing a fibrous diet without appropriate treatments may lead to reduced weight gain (Chachaj *et al.*, 2019; Dražbo *et al.*, 2020; Qui and Linh, 2023).

In the present study, fermentation was used to improve the quality of local feed, as supported by the study of Utama *et al.* (2025), who demonstrated that the quality of water hyacinth can be improved with starters, including LABs. Providing animals with feed enriched with LABs as probiotics can enhance their health, as indicated by Mansilla *et al.* (2022). Consequently, healthy animals exhibit improved growth rates and more robust development (Cameron and McAllister, 2019). The advantages of feed fermentation not only enhance the

feed's nutritional profile but also increase livestock productivity (Katu *et al.*, 2025).

The inclusion of fermented feed improves feed conversion efficiency, thereby stimulating growth (Shamim *et al.*, 2017). The most notable improvements in animal health and function were observed in the poorest conditions, with unhealthy animals housed in filthy environments (Chervonova, 2021; Johnson *et al.*, 2024). Consistent with the findings of Sulistiyanto *et al.* (2024), the current results indicated that the VFE-2019 starter provided satisfactory outcomes in processing local ingredients such as water hyacinth, tofu dregs, and rice bran, and had a positive impact on the turkeys that consumed them (Shamim *et al.*, 2017; Pratiwi and Pratiwy, 2021; Johnson *et al.*, 2024). Some studies have noted the beneficial effects of administering LAB probiotics, including improved nutritional utility, modulating the intestinal microbiota, inhibiting pathogens, improving intestinal integrity, immunomodulation, and enhancing microbiological and sensory characteristics of the broiler's meal (Alagawany *et al.*, 2021; Johnson *et al.*, 2024; Qui *et al.*, 2024). The LABs in fermented products have been demonstrated to serve a functional role as probiotics. Multiple studies have indicated that administering probiotic LAB can enhance intestinal performance in chickens, augment immune responses, and improve feed efficiency, thereby supporting animal growth (Wajda *et al.*, 2010; Alagawany *et al.*, 2021).

In addition, fermented feed has a low pH and a high organic acid content. Dietary fermented feed can improve growth performance, immune organ development, and organ function capacity, which may be related to the regulation of microbial composition. As turkeys reach maturity and develop disease immunity, their mortality rate decreases, resulting in a lower death rate (Mukandungutse et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2023).

CONCLUSION

Fermented feed given to turkeys could improve production performance by increasing body weight and daily weight gain, also decreasing feed conversion and mortality rates during the grower period. The present study has limitations because it was conducted over a relatively short period and did not consider economic aspects, so further studies should assess the cost-effectiveness of using fermentation technology before smallholder farmers adopt it.

DECLARATIONS

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the Faculty of Animal Husbandry and Agriculture, UNDIP Indonesia, for providing research funds, as well as to Evi Suprihatiningsih, Ahmad Syafi Azizy Nor, Khusnul Khotimah, Eryan Hidayah Ramadhani, and Danayall Falah for their support and cooperation.

Funding

The present study was funded by the Faculty of Animal and Agricultural Sciences at Diponegoro University, Indonesia, under the contract number 734/UN7.F5/PP/IV/2023.

Author's contributions

Bambang Sulistiyanto, Cahya Utama, and Sri Sumarsih conducted the study, collected, and analyzed data. Bambang Sulistiyanto, Cahya Utama, Sri Sumarsih, and Nadya Marcelina Cinderawati drafted, reviewed, and edited the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final edition of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors have declared that no conflict of interest.

Ethical considerations

Plagiarism, consent to publish, misconduct, data fabrication and/or falsification, double publication and/or submission, and redundancy have been checked by all the authors. The authors did not use any artificial intelligence (AI) tools in preparing this manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

All generated and analyzed data during this study are included in the present study; additional information is available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- Alagawany M, Madkour M, El-Saadony MT, and Reda FM (2021). *Paenibacillus polymyxa* (LM31) as a new feed additive: Antioxidant and antimicrobial activity and its effects on growth, blood biochemistry, and intestinal bacterial populations of growing Japanese quail. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 276: 114920. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2021.114920>
- Anggraeni A, Hasibuan S, Malik B, and Wijaya R (2013). Improving the quality of tofu waste as a source of feed through fermentation using the *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* culture. *International Journal of Advanced Science, Engineering and Information Technology*, 3(4): 285-288. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.18517/ijaseit.3.4.305>
- Attia YA, Ashour EA, Nagadi SA, Farag MR, Bovera F, and Alagawany M (2023). Rice bran as an alternative feedstuff in broiler nutrition and impact of Liposorb® and vitamin E-Se on sustainability of performance, carcass traits, blood biochemistry, and antioxidant indices. *Veterinary Sciences*, 10(4): 299. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.3390/vetsci10040299>
- Birhanu MY, Osei-Amponsah R, Obese FY, and Dessie T (2023). Smallholder poultry production in the context of increasing global food prices: Roles in poverty reduction and food security. *Animal Frontiers*, 13(1): 17-25. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1093/af/vfac069>
- Cameron A and McAllister TA (2019). Could probiotics be the panacea alternative to the use of antimicrobials in livestock diets?. *Beneficial Microbes*, 10(7): 773-799. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.3920/BM2019.0059>
- Chachaj R, Sembratowicz I, Krauze M, Stępniewska A, Rusinek-Prystupa E, Czech A, Matusevičius P, and Ognik K (2019). The effect of fermented soybean meal on performance, biochemical and immunological blood parameters in turkeys. *Annals of Animal Science*, 19(4): 1035-1049. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.2478/aoas-2019-0040>
- Chervonova I (2021). Influence of probiotic and prebiotic on meat quality of broiler chicken carcasses. *BIO Web of Conferences*, 32: 04009. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/20213204009>
- Dorigam JCP, Appelt MD, Maiorka A, Muramatsu K, Sens RF, Rocha C, and Dahlke F (2016). Evaluation of the digestible lysine requirements in female turkeys from 0 to 68 days of age. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 221(Part A): 192-200. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2016.08.019>
- Dotché A, Agbokounou A, Baba LI, Adebo N, Okambawa, Koffi M, and Karim IYA (2024). Constraints to the development of turkey farming in Southern Benin. *World's Veterinary Journal*, 14(1): 38-52. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.54203/scil.2024.vvj6>

- Dražbo AA, Juškiewicz J, Józefiak A, and Konieczka P (2020). The fermentation process improves the nutritional value of rapeseed cake for turkeys – Effects on performance, gut bacterial population and its fermentative activity. *Animals*, 10(9): 1711. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.3390/ani10091711>
- Dumaup HJ and Ampode KMB (2020). Inclusion of water hyacinth meal in broiler chicken diets: Potential on the production performance and cell-mediated immunity. *International Journal of Biosciences*, 17(6): 469-479. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/17.6.469-479>
- Johnson A, Weber BP, Nair DT, Singer RS, Johny AK, and Johnson TJ (2024). Evaluating turkey-derived lactic-acid-producing bacteria as potential probiotics for use in commercial turkeys. *Applied Sciences*, 14(5): 2010. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.3390/app14052010>
- Katu JK, Tóth T, Ásványi B, Hatvan Z, and Varga L (2025). Effect of fermented feed on growth performance and gut health of broilers: A review. *Animals*, 15(13): 1957. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.3390/ani15131957>
- Kim JS, Ingale SL, Baidoo SK, and Chae BJ (2016). Impact of feed processing technology on nutritional value of pigs feed: A review. *Animal Nutrition and Feed Technology*, 16(2): 181-196. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.5958/0974-181X.2016.00017.2>
- Kondrotiene K, Zavistanaviciute P, Aksomaitiene J, Novoslavskij A, and Malakauskas M (2024). *Lactococcus lactis* in dairy fermentation—health-promoting and probiotic properties. *Fermentation*, 10(1): 16. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.3390/fermentation10010016>
- Mansilla FI, Aristimuño Ficoseco C, Miranda MH, Puglisi E, Nader-Macías MEF, Vignolo GM, and Fontana CA (2022). Administration of probiotic lactic acid bacteria to modulate fecal microbiome in feedlot cattle. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1): 12957. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-16786-z>
- Moustapha A, Adamou A, and Talaki E (2022). Characterization and typology of traditional poultry farming systems in Southern Niger. *Journal of World's Poultry Research*, 12(4): 245-257. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.36380/jwpr.2022.27>
- Mukandungutse IB, Tuitoek JK, King'ori AM, and Obonyo MA (2020). The effect of fermented aflatoxins contaminated feed on digestibility and performance of broiler chickens. *Animal Production*, 22(1): 55-60. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.20884/1.jap.2020.22.1.3>
- Mulyasari, Subaryono, and Yosmaniar (2022). Utilization of tofu waste from Indonesian small scale industry as fish feed through a fermentation process. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 978(1): 012042. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/978/1/012042>
- Nangoy FJ, Mandey HPM, Sarajar CLK, and Manangkot H (2022). Comparison of frequency and duration of sexual behavior of male turkeys (*Meleagris gallopavo*) based on different ages. *Zootec*, 42(2): 377-384. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.35792/zot.42.2.2022.43176>
- Nguyen QH, Than TTT, Le ND, Le PD, and Fievez V (2020). Effect of increasing inclusion rates of tofu by-product in diets of growing pigs on nitrogen balance and ammonia emission from manure. *Animal*, 14(6): 1167-1175. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1017/S1751731119003070>
- Njoku JIK and Chibundu EI (2022). Effectiveness of extension communication method in disseminating poultry production technologies to rural farmers in Imo State Nigeria. *International Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development*, 25(1): 6618-6626. Available at: [https://ijard.com/journalarticles/Vol%2025\(1\)%202022/5%20EFFE%20CTIVENESS%20OF%20EXTENSION%20COMMUNICATION%20METHODS%20IN%20DISSIMINATING%20POULTRY%20PRODUCTION%20TECHNOLOGIES%20TO%20RURAL%20FARMERS%20IN%20IMO%20STATE%20NIGERIA.pdf](https://ijard.com/journalarticles/Vol%2025(1)%202022/5%20EFFE%20CTIVENESS%20OF%20EXTENSION%20COMMUNICATION%20METHODS%20IN%20DISSIMINATING%20POULTRY%20PRODUCTION%20TECHNOLOGIES%20TO%20RURAL%20FARMERS%20IN%20IMO%20STATE%20NIGERIA.pdf)
- Pangeran MR, Indarsih B, and Wiryawan KG (2021). Growth performances and digestability in Pekin ducks fed on a diet containing fermented agro-industrial by-products. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 902(1): 012054. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/902/1/012054>
- Pratiwi DY and Pratiwy FM (2021). Effect of fermented water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) on growth performance of fish. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies*, 9(4): 139-141. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.22271/fish.2021.v9.i4b.2533>
- Qui NH and Linh NT (2023). Effects of dietary β -glucan and rice fermented on growth performance, fatty acids, and Newcastle disease immune response in turkey broilers. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, 30(8): 103736. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2023.103736>
- Qui NH, Linh NT, Thu NTA, Nang K, Phong NH, Minh BN, Tai NT, Luc DD, and Triatmojo A (2024). Immunological response and nutritional effects of *Lactobacillus spp.*-fermented garlic on turkey broilers. *Archives of Razi Institute*, 79(2): 345-354. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.32592/ARI.2024.79.2.345>
- Rajan RGP, Jona ES, Dhinakaran S, Dinesh J, Deepika R, Aruna S, and Manikandavelu D (2023). Water hyacinth a sustainable source of feed, manure and industrial products: A review. *Agricultural Reviews*, 44(3): 389-392. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.18805/ag.R-2181V>
- Selaledi L, Maake M, and Mabelebele M (2021). The acceptability of yellow mealworm as chicken feed: A case study of small-scale farmers in South Africa. *Agriculture & Food Security*, 10(1): 14. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1186/s40066-021-00288-8>
- Shamim HM, Abdel-Rahman MA, Hussain MS, Islam MR, and Al-Mahin A (2017). Bioconversion of water hyacinth to nutritionally enriched animal feed by solid state fermentation using *Pleurotus sajor-caju*. *Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology and Food Sciences*, 6(5): 1165-1169. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.15414/jmbfs.2017.6.5.1165-1169>
- Steel RGD and Torrie JH (1980). Principles and procedures of statistics: A biometrical approach, 2nd Edition. McGraw-Hill Book Company., New York, pp. 352-358. Available at: <https://lib.ui.ac.id/detail?id=20130964&lokasi=lokal>
- Sulistiyanto B and Utama CS (2020). Production of natural starter based on agricultural waste for processing water hyacinth as functional feed for turkeys on smallholder farm. *Laporan Penelitian Terapan Unggulan Perguruan Tinggi*, Semarang.
- Sulistiyanto B, Sumarsih S, and Mangisah I (2019). Physico-organoleptic characteristics of fermented vegetable juice in different level of garlic. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 292(1): 012069. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/292/1/012069>
- Sulistiyanto B, Utama C S, and Ulil Albab K (2024). Efficiency of vacuum dried method on physical, organoleptic and viability properties of lactic acid bacteria synbiotics. *Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research*, 14(1): 68-76. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.51227/ojaf.2024.9>
- Sunarti D, Murrad BC, and Kismiati S (2016). *Turkeys*, 1st Edition. Sarana Utama., Salatigapp, pp. 47-63. Available at: https://eprints.undip.ac.id/80625/1/KALKUN_edisi_2.pdf
- Utama CS, Sulistiyanto B, and Haidar MF (2025). Performance and digestibility of grower-stage turkeys fed different forage-based rations. *Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences*, 13(4): 892-899. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.17582/journal.aavs/2025/13.4.892.899>
- van der Poel AFB, Abdollahi MR, Cheng H, Colovic R, den Hartog LA, Miladinovic D, Page G, Sijssens K, Smillie JF, Thomas M et al. (2020). Future directions of animal feed technology research to meet the challenges of a changing world. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 270: 114692. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2020.114692>

- van der Sluis M, Asher L, Rodenburg TB, de Haas Y, de Klerk B, and Ellen ED (2022). Early locomotor activity in broilers and the relationship with body weight gain. *Poultry Science*, 101(10): 102086. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2022.102086>
- Wajda S, Smiecińska K, Jankowski J, Matusevicius P, and Buteikis G (2010). The efficacy of lactic acid bacteria *Pediococcus acidilactici*, lactose and formic acid as dietary supplements for turkeys. *Polish Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, 13(1): 45-51. Available at: <https://www.proquest.com/openview/88f10f93a6d9e2c98dee83ac3b196b90/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=54205>
- Wu Y, Xiao Y, Okoye CO, Gao L, Chen X, Wang Y, and Jiang J (2025). Fermentation profile and bioactive component retention in honeysuckle residue silages inoculated with lactic acid bacteria: A promising feed additive for sustainable agriculture. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 224: 120315. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2024.120315>
- Yamin AA, Ridwan M, Purwanti S, and Syamsu JA (2020). The rice bran potential as local feed ingredient to support poultry feed mill development in Sidenreng Rappang regency, South Sulawesi, Indonesia. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 492(1): 012022. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/492/1/012022>
- Yasmin S, Sowrove NEA, Haque T, and Hossain MI (2021). Contributing Factors for turkey consumption: An empirical analysis from Mymensingh City in Bangladesh. *Agricultural Science*, 3(1): 15-23. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.30560/as.v3n1p15>
- Zhu X, Tao L, Liu H, and Yang G (2023). Effects of fermented feed on growth performance, immune organ indices, serum biochemical parameters, cecal odorous compound production, and the microbiota community in broilers. *Poultry Science*, 102(6): 102629. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2023.102629>

Publisher's note: [Sciencline Publication](#) Ltd. remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access: This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2026